

**Minutes of the Livingston Parish Council
Livingston, Louisiana
November 21, 2024**

The Livingston Parish Council met in a regular session duly called, advertised, and convened at its regular meeting place, at the Governmental Building in the Parish Council Chambers, located on 20355 Government Boulevard, Livingston, Louisiana, on Thursday, November 21, 2024, at the hour of six o'clock (6:00) p.m. with the following Livingston Parish Council members present:

Lonnie Watts	John Mangus
Ryan Chavers	Ricky Goff
Billy Taylor	Dean Coates
Erin Sandefur	Joseph "Joe" Erdey
John Wascom	

Also present: Chris Moody, Parish Legal Counsel, The Moody Law Firm
Mickey McMorris, Parish Finance Director appearing in the absence of the Parish President

Absent: Parish President Randy Delatte

The chair called the meeting to order.

The chair asked the public to please mute or turn off their cell phones.

The chair announced that Public Input would be accepted from any member of the audience wishing to address an agenda item and explained the procedure to be called upon.

Councilman Joe Erdey called upon the chair. He advised that he would like to call a point of privilege again. He stated that he would like to ask Mr. Moody had he read the agenda for tonight, and was everything in proper order?

Mr. Chris Moody, Parish Legal Advisor, responded in the affirmative and acknowledged yes to Councilman Erdey's question.

Councilman Erdey thanked Mr. Moody and the chair moved forward with the regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council.

The chair addressed agenda item number 7, "Reminder – When addressing any agenda item, please speak into the microphone". He reminded the Council members to please speak into their microphones as such.

Before moving to the next agenda item, the chair indicated that there were a couple of presentations that evening to be addressed. However, he wished to address agenda item 10a, "Parish President's Report: Introduction of Ordinance: 2024 Budget Amendments and 2025 Budget – Mickey McMorris, Finance Director", if there were no objections to this request.

No one objected to his request and the chair called upon Mr. Mickey McMorris, Parish Finance Director, appearing on behalf of the Parish President in his absence.

Mr. McMorris addressed the Council members and extended a message from the Parish President sending his apologies that he could not attend that evening's meeting.

He directed the Council members to a packet of the proposed budget that had been earlier distributed to them and stated that an electronic version had been sent to the Council clerk. He also asked for them to view a separate page that was the Capital Improvement Budget that listed all of the projects that were in progress and some that were finishing up. He added that if they continued past one (1) year, they would be listed as well on this document.

The chair called upon the Council clerk to read the proposed ordinance by title as follows:

L.P. ORDINANCE NO. 24-32
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2024 BUDGET AND ADOPTING THE

2025 BUDGET OF THE LIVINGSTON PARISH PRESIDENT-COUNCIL
RELATIVE TO THE GENERAL FUND, SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS, DEBT
SERVICE FUNDS AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS.

LPR NO. 24-404

MOTION was offered by John Mangus and duly seconded by Ricky Goff to publish the ordinance by title in the Official Journal and set a Public Hearing for Thursday, December 5, 2024, at the hour of six o'clock (6:00) p.m. at the Governmental Building in the Livingston Parish Council chambers located at Government Boulevard, Livingston, Louisiana, at which time comments will be received on the proposed ordinance prior to a Council vote.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

(As per rules of the Council, copies of the proposed ordinance shall be available for public inspection in the office of the Livingston Parish Council)

The chair wished to address the agenda addendum items A-1: Parish President's Report: Consideration of the Protest filed by R.J. Daigle & Sons Contractors, Inc. in regard to the contract awarded for the "Livingston Parish Drainage Improvement Program 2024", and called upon Mr. Chris Moody, Legal Counsel for the Parish, who had requested that this be placed on the agenda.

Mr. Moody explained that this was a project on the Council's road program in regard to the drainage bid. He advised that it was a large project and it had to go out for public bid. This had come before the Council to accept the low bidder at a previous meeting. However, after the lowest bidder was approved by the Council, the low bidder determined that they made some errors in the calculations of the bid and the law allows them to back away from it if they file the correct paperwork doing so, and they did so.

The Parish Administration allowed them to do this, which made the next lowest bidder available for consideration. Administration was on the verge of recommending that, who was about five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,00.00) lower than the next lowest bid, which was R.J. Daigle. He further explained that Daigle has now filed a former protest of that award, and to give fair hearing and to give some due process to that, the Parish President wished to let this Council make the decision on this bid. He directed that the Council members would be able to hear both sides of their arguments and Mr. Moody had provided their position papers in advance to the Council members so that they were fully aware of the factual situation. Mr. Billy Taylor, Parish Road Engineer of McLin Taylor Inc., Engineering & Land Surveying, was in attendance in the audience and offered availability for further input and explanation.

Mr. Moody briefly explained the protest procedure and called upon the representative from R.J. Daigle to come forward and introduce themselves, which began the protest.

Mr. David Fleshman of Breazeale, Sachse and Wilson, L.L.P. introduced himself and stated that he was present on behalf of R.J. Daigle and Sons. He thanked the Council members for the opportunity to address this important matter with them as it was taking time away from other matters that they were dealing with.

Mr. Fleshman also wished to thank the Parish of Livingston's legal counsel, Mr. Chris Moody. He explained that from the very beginning of this, Mr. Moody had ensured that this process had been clear, that all parties have had an opportunity to submit their positions in writing and also the opportunity to appear there that evening before the Council members.

Mr. Fleshman began his argument explaining in detail how this project was bid in accordance with Louisiana Public Bid Law and the many reasons why he deemed that the bid submitted by Gulf States Services, LLC was defective.

Upon the conclusion of Mr. Fleshman's argument, the chair called upon the representative of Gulf States Services, LLC.

Mr. Patrick McGoe of Schonekas, Evans, McGoe & McEachin, LLC, introduced himself to the Council members as the attorney representing Gulf States Services, LLC and its sole owner, Mr. Blake Kennedy. He explained that the bid for this drainage project by Gulf States Services, LLC was approximately five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) less than R.J. Daigle's. He briefly described the events that led Gulf States Services, LLC to be recognized as the second low bidder. Mr. McGoe rebutted R.J. Daigle's arguments that were presented against Gulf States Services and disputed that the bid submitted was defective.

The chair called upon Mr. Fleshman, representing R.J. Daigle and Sons Contractors, Inc. and advised of his opportunity for rebuttal against the statements that were presented by Mr. McGoe on behalf of his client, Gulf States Services, LLC.

Mr. Fleshman accepted this opportunity for rebuttal and established his reasoning why the bid submitted by Gulf States Services, LLC should be rejected.

The chair and Mr. Fleshman discussed the aspects of rejecting all bids submitted for the 2024 Drainage Improvement Program.

The chair called upon Mr. Moody and stated that with all of the information that had been submitted, what was his recommendation? He asked if Mr. Moody was requesting for the Council members to make a decision that evening on one (1) of these two (2) companies involved with this protest? Mr. Moody answered in the affirmative and answered the chairman's questions laying out the options that were available for the Council members to make a choice in their decision.

The chair inquired if those three (3) options were to choose one (1), choose the other, or reject all bids. Mr. Moody concurred.

The chair further inquired of Mr. Moody asking if it was his opinion legally, that the Council could do either one (1) of those three (3) choices? Mr. Moody counselled that they could do any of the three (3) that they choose because that's the Council's prerogative and their discretion.

The chair questioned if there was any other option? Mr. Moody advised there was wasn't that he was aware of. He identified that there was not a black and white, easy answer and the Council could be sued no matter what decision that they made. He added that he and the Parish's Road Engineer have studied this matter very hard, and advised that the Parish President was allowing the Council members to make this decision because it is a close call and everyone needed to be on the same page.

The chair asked if Mr. Moody had a legal defense that he would rather take in court? Mr. Moody resolved that he thought both claims are relatively equal and could justify both positions.

There was an open discussion that included Mr. Fleshman in regard to the compliance of the public bid law.

Mr. Moody interjected and advised Mr. Fleshman that in all fairness, his remarks were not answering a question, and they needed to hear other counsel's position to this discussion. He added that both of their times had expired.

Mr. McGoe stated that he would be brief and addressed the chair's point on what they should do. He responded to the statements made by the representatives of R.J. Daigle mandating that the Parish of Livingston had to give the bid to them because it was the law and read an Attorney General's opinion, numbered 93-633, recognizing that confusing or ambiguous instructions on the bid forms could be just cause to reject all bids. He quoted, "In some situations there may be confusion or ambiguity in the language of the organization of the solicitation documents which constitute just cause to cancel the solicitation in fairness to bidders who have been prejudiced by

the lack of clarity.” He advocated for the Council members to decide that Gulf States should have received the bid or if they should reject all bids.

The chair then allowed questions from the Council members.

Councilman John Mangus asked Mr. Moody was there any reason why the Parish’s bid form was different than what the state recommends?

Mr. Moody advised that this would be a question for the Parish’s Road Engineer, Mr. Billy Taylor.

Mr. Taylor came from the audience and addressed the Council members and Councilman Mangus’s questions. He had several forms available, but stated that the Louisiana Uniform Public Works bid form was used for this project. He explained that every so often there are slight updates and new versions of the form. He illustrated the difference between the form that was used for this bidding process and the form that was just put on their website that day, everything looked exactly the same with the exception of a couple of footnotes at the bottom of the form, which are the same in the rest of the bid documents. He felt that everything looked the same and that the argument over the resolution whether they knew to submit or not, there had been discussion about clerical errors with the parish. It was of his opinion that you got what you were supposed to get out of it and it had been used in multiple projects throughout that year for multiple government agencies and it had not been an issue.

Councilman Mangus questioned if it had been submitted to both companies identically?

Mr. Taylor explained several different means how the bidding process was promulgated and regulated. He stated that everyone had received the same bidding documents.

Councilman Ryan Chavers questioned if the Council put out for rebids and if R.J. Daigle was aware of how low Gulf States’s bid was. He further questioned if that doesn’t mean that they are just going to lower their bid and ultimately win it at that point?

Mr. Moody concurred that Councilman Chavers made a good point because it puts both sides with a lot more knowledge about what the strategy was.

Councilman Chavers surmised that what it sounded like, was that they were the lower bidder, they’re protesting it, because they feel like their submittal process wasn’t correct, they’re saying that state law says they’re complying, they were second, so to him it sounded like, we didn’t win, so let’s just see how we can prolong this process.

Councilman Dean Coates questioned what is the process when these bids go out? He had heard that they get the bids off of a computer system and asked if that was correct?

Mr. Fleshman answered to advise that it is essentially advertised publicly to anyone who wishes to bid on this project giving them the opportunity to submit a bid.

Councilman Coates had several questions about the bidding process.

Councilman Coates asked if the paperwork was also included at that time? Mr. Fleshman advised that it was. He then asked how does that paperwork get back to the Parish? Mr. Fleshman indicated that it could be mailed in, it could be hand delivered by a certain time and there was also the newer ability to electronically upload if the Parish or that public entity allows for that. He further described how the bids must be received by a certain time and historically the process of each bid being opened and read aloud. Councilman Coates further inquired if at the expiration of the bidding process, pretty much everyone who had submitted a bid, shows up and gets their answer right then and there?

Mr. Fleshman explained that it was read aloud and then there was a requirement of the law for the submission of some 10-day documents afterwards and then the Parish would review those to make sure that all of the additional documents are in compliance and then at that point, they would move forward with the contract.

Councilman Coates then asked Mr. Fleshman where do you bring them to within the Parish? Mr. Fleshman was not sure in this specific case, but he believed that the Parish President's office or the Department of Public Works is where it is actually submitted physically.

Councilman Lonnie Watts requested what the time frame would be if the Council chose to rebid and how much time would the Parish lose?

Mr. Billy Taylor, Parish Road Engineer, addressed his question and indicated that roundabout number to be approximately sixty (60) days. He explained that they had all of the documents, it would be a matter of readvertising. He recognized the holidays that were coming up and there may be different things might occur on the timeline, but generally, it would be forty-five (45) to sixty (60) days. He continued to explain that then there would be the bid opening, the 10-day post bid documentation, as well as there could be the possibility of another protest.

Mr. Moody asked Mr. Taylor would there be any consequence and delay on any other projects that this would hold up?

Mr. Taylor acknowledged that was a good question, and yes, the overlay program is designed to work hand-in-hand with the drainage program and there were some of the roads that needed drainage work completed before the roads could be overlaid. He recognized that it would affect the overlay program in some way.

Councilman Ricky Goff asked several questions to make sure that he was clear on his understanding of the reasons being submitted for the protest of the bid. He viewed that the intent of the bid was correct and he thought that equal footing and the spirit of the bid for everyone to comply with was accurate. He stated that if it was his choice that evening, he would consider going with the lowest bid. He also concurred that he was one hundred percent (100%) behind rebidding the project.

Councilman Chavers wanted to state, because he had heard both sides of it, that if the Parish would put this project out for rebid, this being in reference to his question earlier that he asked Mr. Moody, R.J. Daigle was going to obviously drop their price to win the bid, so that they can't win it, and he thought that it was important for the Council to consider that there were two (2) attorneys giving both of their interpretations of what the law says. He believed that in a situation such as this, where both parties think that they are in the right, go with the lowest bidder. He stated that was the right thing to do, they were going to save the Parish financially. Councilman Chavers further asserted that if the Parish would put it out for rebid, then R.J. Daigle would drop their price, as he had stated earlier, to be lower than Gulf States and he did not think that was fair.

Councilwoman Erin Sandefur asked if the Parish did not put this out for bid, could this get caught up for years, rather than sixty (60) days? She also wished to address Councilman Chavers comment that Gulf States has the same opportunity to rebid as R.J. Daigle.

Mr. Moody acknowledged that those were good questions. He stated that typically, this type of litigation goes quickly because the first thing that happens if the Parish would turn someone down, is that they go and obtain an injunction and an injunction hearing is set pretty quickly, they come in and have the same type of summary argument to the judge and the judge gives a pretty quick decision. However, the timeframe is rarely within weeks. He advised that typically, it would be within two (2) or three (3) months. He also informed her that there would likely be a delay if there is litigation, just as there would also be a delay if the Council chose to rebid.

Councilwoman Sandefur countered that if they throw it out and let it rebid, Louisiana law, Revised statute 38:2214, allows them to reject all bids for a number of reasons. She stated that it could still end up in court, but it is a much harder case. She asked Mr. Moody if she was correct?

Mr. Moody stated that he thought it is an easier case to defend, and agreed. He counselled that there was advantages to either choice. However, that evening they were contemplating the bid protest, so the decision that they would need to make would be to accept their protest and say yes or nay to R.J. Daigle. He further explained that if the Parish ruled for them, then they would receive the bid award. Conversely, if the Council would turn them down, then an alternate decision could be made and you would then have the choice to withdraw all bids and rebid it.

Councilman Joe Erdey stated that they had been talking about R.J. Daigle rebidding and probably dropping their bid to try and get the contract. He proffered that this was kind of like an open book for both parties to have the opportunity to rebid. He reiterated that it was not like one (1) person is going to drop their bid, there were two (2) people or rather parties that could rebid.

Councilman Ryan Chavers stated that he wished to make a motion to accept Gulf States as the lowest bidder.

Councilman Mangus asked for clarification from Mr. Moody of what the motion should be?

Mr. Moody advised that the Council members needed to make a decision on the protest. The protest would be voted upon first, to accept or deny it. Councilman Mangus clarified that after that, the Council would then vote to either accept the other one (1) or put it out to rebid.

Councilman Chavers stated that he wished to withdraw his motion.

Mr. Moody wished to make a statement to the Council members before they made a vote. He advised them that they should not make this decision on the basis that the money is lower. He counselled them that they should base their decision upon fairness of the bid.

Councilman Ryan Chavers agreed with Mr. Moody.

Councilman Mangus stated that he wished to make the motion that the Council vote to accept the petition/protest from R.J. Daigle. Mr. Moody advised that if you want to rule for them, you would accept.

Councilman Mangus questioned how he would word his motion? Mr. Moody indicated that if you did not want to rule for them, then the motion would be to deny the protest.

Councilman Mangus asserted that a vote yes for his motion would be denying the protest. Councilman Ryan Chavers wished to second that motion.

Councilman Mangus clarified that a vote no to his motion would be awarding the contract to R.J. Daigle. He then looked to Mr. Moody for further clarification.

Mr. Moody advised that if the Council wished to deny the protest, then R.J. Daigle does not win the bid, and if there was a positive motion for R.J. Daigle, then the Council members would need to vote on that first. However, if there is not one (1), there could be a vote to deny the protest. Whereby being, if the vote would be to deny the protest, and vote yes, then they lose. He further clarified that if that motion passes, then the Council could consider whether to rebid the whole thing or award the job.

The chair stated that there was a motion made by Councilman John Mangus to reject the complaint by R.J. Daigle that it was an unfair bid. Councilman Ryan Chavers wished to second that motion.

Public input: Abby Crosby, resident of Livingston, LA: questioned because this was done by Administration, and the Council had the last ruling on this matter, who would be sued? Administration or the Council?

Mr. Moody advised that Parish government would be sued.

Ms. Crosby wondered why this would be falling on the Council members instead of instead of the Parish Administration because that was a contract with them, and why do they have the final decision?

Mr. Moody explained that state law requires the Parish Council to award bids under the Public Bid law. He further explained that the Council had made the decision earlier, and now they were going back and changing that decision.

Ms. Crosby questioned if it would be Administration being sued and not the Council?

The chair clarified that it would be the whole Parish.

LPR NO. 24-405

MOTION was offered by John Mangus and duly seconded by Ryan Chavers to reject the protest of the 2024 Livingston Parish Drainage Improvement Program made by R.J. Daigle and Sons Contractors, Inc.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES, MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair then inquired if another motion was needed to accept the low bid or reject all bids and go back out to rebid.

Councilwoman Erin Sandefur stated that she wished to make a motion to reject all bids and put it out for rebid. Councilman Joe Erdey advised that he wished to second that motion.

Councilman Ryan Chavers declared that he wanted to make a substitute motion to accept Gulf States as the lowest bidder. Councilman John Mangus stated that he wished to second that motion.

The chair asked if there were any further discussion on this matter. Having none, the chair called for the vote.

LPR NO. 24-406

SUBSTITUTE MOTION was offered by Ryan Chavers and duly seconded by John Mangus to accept Gulf States Services, LLC as the lowest bidder of the 2024 Livingston Parish Drainage Improvement Program.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR,

NAYS: MR. COATES, MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had **FAILED** and was **NOT** adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair acknowledged that the substitute motion had failed, therefore the Council would then return back to the original motion that was made to put it back out for bid. The chair called for the vote.

The Council clerk wished to clarify that the original motion was to reject all the bids and put it back out for rebidding. The chair concurred.

Councilman John Mangus questioned what if this motion fails? The chair surmised that the Parish would not have a road overlay program or drainage for roads under the road program.

LPR NO. 24-407

MOTION was offered by Erin Sandefur and duly seconded by Joe Erdey to reject all the bids received for the 2024 Livingston Parish Drainage Improvement Program and put it back out for rebid process.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES, MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS,
MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda addendum item number A-2 (a), “Livingston Parish Finance Department: Adopt a resolution to accept and approve the 2024 Audit Engagement Letter submitted by Faulk & Winkler, *CPAs-Business Advisors*, that confirms their understanding of the terms of engagement of their services for the Livingston Parish Council and also authorizing the Parish President to execute the 2024 Audit Engagement Letter for the Parish of Livingston’s annual audit for the year ending on December 31, 2024”, and stated that this had been reviewed by the Finance committee. He asked if Councilman John Mangus wished to address this item?

Councilman Mangus reported that the Finance committee approved this and asked if Mr. Mickey McMorris, Parish Finance Director wished to address this item?

He responded in the negative unless there were questions from the Council members.

The chair explained that this was the same group that did the audit for 2024. He advised that with the new Administration coming in, they changed from the old auditor to this one, and this would be engaging him for the next year.

LPR NO. 24-408

MOTION was offered by John Mangus and duly seconded by Ricky Goff to accept and approve the 2024 Audit Engagement Letter submitted by Faulk & Winkler, *CPAs-Business Advisors*, that confirms their understanding of the terms of engagement of their services for the Livingston Parish Council and also authorizing the Parish President to execute the 2024 Audit Engagement Letter for the Parish of Livingston’s annual audit for the year ending on December 31, 2024.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MS. SANDEFUR

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda addendum item number A-2 (b), “Livingston Parish Finance Department: Adopt a resolution to accept and approve the Statewide Agreed Upon Procedures received from Faulk & Winkler, *CPAs-Business Advisors*, relating to the Parish of Livingston’s 2024 fiscal year end annual audit and authorize the Parish President to execute said document”, and asked for Mr. McMorris to advise what this resolution was in reference to.

Mr. McMorris clarified that this was a requirement mandated by the Legislative Auditor. He explained that the Legislative Auditor reviews all of the Parish’s internal controls and procedures on how the Parish does things.

LPR NO. 24-409

MOTION was offered by John Mangus and duly seconded by Ricky Goff to accept and approve the Statewide Agreed Upon Procedures received from Faulk & Winkler, *CPAs-Business Advisors*, relating to the Parish of Livingston’s 2024 fiscal year end annual audit and authorize the Parish President to execute said document.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MR. ERDEY
NAYS: NONE
ABSENT: MS. SANDEFUR
ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 8a, “Presentations CO2 Alliance presentation by Allen Parish Police Juror Roland Hollis”, and called upon Councilman Dean Coates.

Councilman Coates explained that Mr. Roland Hollis is a Police Juror in Allen Parish and they are creating an alliance in order to fight carbon capture sequestration throughout the entire state and he wanted to come and speak to them about it. He advised that he received a call and there was an emergency and they were unable to attend the Council meeting that evening. He requested if he could be allowed to attend the next meeting of the Council and be placed on that agenda.

The chair verified that this item was being pulled for now and would be deferred until the next regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council to be held on Thursday, December 5, 2024 at six o’clock (6:00) p.m.

Councilman Coates advised that was correct.

The chair addressed agenda item number 9a, “Adopt the Minutes of the November 7, 2024: Juban Crossing Economic Development District Meeting”.

LPR NO. 24-410

MOTION was offered by John Mangus and duly seconded by Billy Taylor to dispense with the reading of the minutes from the November 7, 2024 meeting of the Juban Crossing Economic Development District and adopt as written.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES, MR. GOFF,
MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY
NAYS: NONE
ABSENT: MR. CHAVERS
ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 9b, “Adopt the Minutes of the November 7, 2024: Regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council” and deferred this item until the next regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council to be held on Thursday, December 5, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 11, “Public Hearing and Adoption of L.P. Ordinance No. 24-31: An Ordinance to acquire immovable property for the Parish of Livingston pursuant to the Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant Program Project Number EMT-2022-FM-003-0029 Livingston Parish: Brent Wayne Arledge and Amanda Michelle Rickerson Arledge, 30378 Dawson Lane, Hammond, La 70403 – Heather Crain, Grants Department”.

The chair asked the Council clerk to read the ordinance by title for introduction as follows:

L.P. ORDINANCE NO. 24-31

AN ORDINANCE TO ACQUIRE IMMOVABLE PROPERTY FOR THE PARISH OF LIVINGSTON PURSUANT TO THE FLOOD MITIGATION ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER EMT-2022-FM-003-0029.

1. Homeowner: Brent Wayne Arledge and Amanda Michelle Rickerson Arledge
Address: 30378 Dawson Lane

	Hammond, LA 70403
Legal Description:	Lot 3, Whispering Willow Subdivision
Appraised Value:	\$275,000.00
Amount Offered:	\$275,000.00

The chair explained that this ordinance had been introduced at the previous Council meeting and requested a motion to adopt this ordinance.

LPR NO. 24-411

The following ordinance which was previously introduced in written form required for adoption at a regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council on November 7, 2024, a summary thereof having been published in the Official Journal together with a notice of public hearing which was held in accordance with said public notice, was brought up for final passage on November 21, 2024 on Motion of Billy Taylor and seconded by Ricky Goff:

L.P. ORDINANCE NO. 24-31

AN ORDINANCE TO ACQUIRE IMMOVABLE PROPERTY FOR THE PARISH OF LIVINGSTON PURSUANT TO THE FLOOD MITIGATION ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER EMT-2022-FM-003-0029.

- | | | |
|----|--------------------|---|
| 1. | Homeowner: | Brent Wayne Arledge and Amanda Michelle Rickerson Arledge |
| | Address: | 30378 Dawson Lane
Hammond, LA 70403 |
| | Legal Description: | Lot 3, Whispering Willow Subdivision |
| | Appraised Value: | \$275,000.00 |
| | Amount Offered: | \$275,000.00 |

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:	MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES, MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY
NAYS:	NONE
ABSENT:	NONE
ABSTAIN:	NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and the ordinance was adopted on November 21, 2024.

(The above and foregoing ordinance, upon final approval by the President, or the Council in case of veto by the President, shall be published in full in the Official Journal by the Clerk of the Council within fifteen (15) days of its adoption and shall be recorded in full in the Livingston Parish Council Ordinance Book No. 5).

The chair addressed agenda item number 12, “Adopt a resolution to request DPW to install “speed humps” on Mockingbird Lane in Walker, LA Council District 7 – Ricky Goff”.

Councilman Ricky Goff asked the Council members to refer to the information that was included in their meeting packets in regard to Mockingbird Lane. He advised that Mockingbird Lane was a fairly straight road. There was also a petition included with the residents and property owners signatures who were in favor of the installation of speed humps and the last page of the petition indicated the ones who were against, however, these that were listed were not against the installation, they were the residents and property owners that were unable to be notified. Councilman Goff stated that he had spoken to Mr. Robert Dugas, Director of the Department of Public Works, on numerous occasions and asked if Mr. Dugas could come to the front to help him address this item.

Councilman Goff explained that they were going to try and put this in the new development code, so if they have developments as they are built, they will automatically have these traffic calming devices. He called upon Mr. Dugas to him elaborate on this.

Mr. Dugas stated that they have talked about speed bumps and speed humps in the past. He explained that research resulted in finding recommendations from the Federal Highway

Administration and the International Transportation Engineers for traffic calming devices. He acknowledged that Ascension Parish had this included in their codes for their developments as well as Lafayette Parish. However, there was a process to be completed that included an application, having a traffic engineer involved, as well as other things. He advised that there were approximately sixteen (16) different devices and each are good for a certain application. He discussed obtaining more research and bringing this proposal to the Ordinance committee to advise of their recommendations to be added to the code.

Mr. Dugas stated that he had advised the Council members of his opinion of these devices. He did not care for them, he thought that they would be a maintenance nightmare and the Parish would have a liability issue. Nevertheless, if this would be the will of the Council, then they would make it work.

Councilman Ryan Chavers asked Mr. Dugas how much did these devices costs? He advised that he had the same similar situation and was curious.

Mr. Dugas stated that typically the cheaper ones are ten to fifteen thousand (\$10,000.00 to \$15,000.00) for each device and it depended upon how it was designed. He discussed length and height and explained that the problem that is encountered after their installation, is other residents who complain that they were not included in the process of the request for their installation. He advised that is why the procedures were put in place by the other parishes and it seems to be working there. He cautioned that one (1) of the things that needed to be reviewed, were the roads that you did not want the devices to be installed upon. He explained that the Parish of Lafayette has a list of roads that the devices are prohibited to be placed upon, such as corridor roads.

Councilman Ryan Chavers stated that he did not think that the Parish had any guidelines for these humps. Mr. Dugas advised that currently the Parish ordinances do not allow for these devices. He stated that they follow the DOTD Standard Roads and Bridges Manual and there was no provision for traffic calming devices. He instructed that this would need to be something that the Council actually would add to the Parish Code of Ordinances that would state: "In these cases, this is the ordinance that would be followed".

Councilman Chavers posed questions to Councilman Goff. He questioned if the Council needed to do this by ordinance to line out the guidelines for these things? He discussed and questioned if they have an influx of people requesting these devices and the costs that would be associated. He suggested that they would be pulling money away from what could be going elsewhere.

Councilman Goff stated that he thought that it stayed silent on them and doesn't say anything regarding them and he thought that it would be up to the Council if they wanted to do them. He felt that they could be installed for less than fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00).

He asked the Council members to review the road map of Mockingbird Road that was in their meeting packets. He directed them to look at the road that comes in through there. He discussed the possibility of installing a three-way stop at that point, that would eliminate the number of traffic calming devices needed, keeping the costs down. He felt that it would help the scenario there, which included kids and ninety percent (90%) of the people want them installed. Councilman Goff thought that it would also be a great test opportunity. He stated that his suggestion and his motion is to do the three-way stops and the speed humps.

Councilman Chavers requested to make a substitute motion to do that, but also state that they would no longer be accepting speed humps until they get an ordinance that puts regulations in effect so that they are not just putting them on a short road.

Mr. Dugas wished to reiterate that they were getting with WSP to add that into the development code. He explained the reason it needs to be put in the development code was so in the future, as they build developments, the designers will be required to build these devices in the development.

Councilwoman Sandefur stated that with that amendment, she just wanted to say that there are people and entire subdivisions in her area that would like to pay for their own speed humps and she would like him to consider that.

Mr. Dugas was concerned about using private funds for a public road.

Councilman Goff stated that he would change his motion to reflect what Councilman Chavers said.

Councilman Chavers advised that the motion to install a three-way stop and they needed to decide how many speed humps would be needed.

Public input: Zack Sholty, resident of Mockingbird Lane: disagreed with the implementation of stop signs

Councilman Ryan Chavers stated that he would make the motion for the three (3) and if Mr. Sholty wanted the stop sign, he would add it to the motion. Mr. Sholty felt that the Parish would be wasting their money on stop signs. He asserted that the speed humps would slow down the speeding on Mockingbird.

Councilman Ryan Chavers advised that he did not think that it costs much to install a stop sign. He declared for the Council to do the three (3) speed humps, the installation of a stop sign at the three-way and from this point forward they do not accept any more requests for speed humps until they get an ordinance that regulates.

Mr. Dugas interjected and stated that he would recommend is that the Parish obtain a traffic engineer that does this type of work for a living to make a recommendation, rather than the Parish arbitrarily making the determination for traffic calming devices.

Councilman Chavers asked Mr. Dugas was this recommendation to hire a traffic engineer just for this situation or going forward? Mr. Dugas answered that he was referring to this situation and any other. He further explained that was part of it, and he admitted that traffic engineering was not his expertise. He stated that he could build whatever they asked of him, but he was not a traffic engineer. He explained that there are people who obtain this type of education and that is their profession and expertise.

Councilman Goff acknowledged that Mr. Sholty wanted three (3) speed humps installed, and he also knew that the stop sign was questionable, but he asserted that it was a reasonable amount of money. He stated that he was willing to meet him in the middle and explained that is the stop sign and two (2) speed humps. If later, after these installations, that it was determined that an additional third speed hump was needed, they would look at that determination at that time. Councilman Goff stated that he thought that would solve the problem on Mockingbird and slow people down a lot.

Councilman Goff stated that his motion was to do up to two (2) speed humps and the stop signs and then get as much data that they could on speed humps. Councilman Chavers wished to second this motion.

The Council clerk asked for the name of the road where the three-way stop sign would be placed. It was undetermined at that time.

Mr. Moody, Parish Legal Counsel, felt compelled to advise the Council members that around the country, they are frowned upon because they do create some liability problems. He advised that it is the last resort and way to slow traffic down. He stated that the best way to slow traffic down and the better way was to have deputies out writing speeding tickets or other devices that state “you are speeding”. He also explained that the three (3) Councils prior to them, all considered this and had very compelling reasons why people wanted it. He admitted that he liked the idea of a system so they when do these installations, they would be rare and only when it is the last resort. He encouraged them to be careful about opening this can of worms. He shared that there were one (1) or (2) places around the Parish, that if the neighbors were willing to pay for the signage that had radar to calculate a vehicle’s speed, those have proved to be effective. He advised that the Parish would put them in if the neighbors would pay for the costs of installation.

The chair called upon Councilman Joe Erdey. Councilman Erdey requested for Mr. Dugas to come to the front and questioned him about his earlier statements that he would rather get engineers involved, letting them come and study it then figure out a way to do this correctly.

Mr. Dugas stated that what he was recommending, because of the liability and the other issues, was that there were experts who could advise what the best thing was to do.

Councilman Erdey asked how long would it take engineers to get here and provide an answer?

Mr. Dugas advised that they could get some kind of standard in thirty (30) days, that this was only his recommendation.

Councilman Dean Coates wished to reiterate what Mr. Moody had stated earlier. He acknowledged from a law enforcement point of view that they really needed to consider whether or not you want to do this before getting the proper engineering done.

Councilman John Mangus asked if the Parish could sue someone who damaged the Parish's speed humps?

He advised that he liked Councilman Chavers' idea that the Parish Council could use this road as a trial, and they would not authorize any others to be installed until they get the experts and engineers and the Council writes ordinances for it. He commended the people who approached their councilman, and went through the proper channels with Councilman Ricky Goff, and he advocated to give them their chance.

Councilwoman Erin Sandefur stated that she had this same problem within her district and she had it in quite a few subdivisions. She advised that she gets this question very often and she was also very concerned about the safety of the kids very much so. She wished to offer a possible solution and asked for feedback from Mr. Dugas. She described round, disk-like deterrents that are used outside of the River Center or they may also be found at big event venue places located on the road and are used to dissuade speeding. She asked Mr. Dugas if that might be some sort of solution? Mr. Dugas did not know the particulars on those, but could look at them. He reminded her that he could only do the installment. He recognized that there were all kinds things that they could do, he stated that he would just caution the Council to let someone that's qualified make that recommendation.

Councilman Ryan Chavers indicated that he had this same problem and had been working with Mr. Dugas on Cecil Drive. He advised that he had met with those constituents that live on that road and their main concern was people speeding. These constituents are doing everything that they could to slow down the vehicles that are speeding, including video taping them as they speed. He acknowledged that he empathized with the constituents on Mockingbird Lane because he had a road in his area that was the same way.

Councilman Chavers stated that his motion was to install two (2) and not accept any more speed hump requests until they get ordinances that regulate them. The chair advised that Councilman Ricky Goff had made the second.

Public input: Zack Sholty

The chair asked if Councilman Ryan Chavers would add one (1) thing to the motion that was made. He requested that before the installation of the speed humps, that there would be good signage established to display communication to the vehicles who travel upon this road every day.

The chair allowed an open discussion for setting a cap on the costs of the speed hump installation.

Councilman Erdey stated that he would like an amendment to Councilman Chavers' motion and asked what about a stop sign or slow down sign along with the speed humps?

Mr. Dugas advised that normally it is stated as part of their policy that they have you put signage with the installation. The sign to be displayed states: "slow speed bump ahead". In addition to this, there will also be a triangular V-shape painted on the hump itself so it is visible and it does not look like a road that is level.

Councilman Dean Coates wished to state one (1) more time to the Council members that he thought that this is something that they should really consider. He recognized and understood that those constituents had a situation on their road, but every road in his district had the same situation, as well as everyone else's district that was seated up there with him. He stated that once you start to head down this slippery slope, it could present a huge, huge problem in this Parish because every road in this Parish would have speed humps. And he questioned who would pay for that?

Councilman Coates stated that the answer to this was enforcement. They have to get better enforcement. He advised them to go back to his background and there would be people who are going down this road, and intentionally wreck their car and commit insurance fraud, then they will look to the Parish Council and ask why are the bumps on the road.

Mr. Dugas wished for the Council members to remember, that as they would be slowing cars down, they are also slowing down emergency responders. This is why he cautioned their placement.

Councilman John Mangus called point of order.

The Council clerk asked for clarification for determination of who made the motion and the second.

Councilman Ryan Chavers advised that he had made the motion and his motion was to add two (2) speed humps. He also wished to address Councilman Erdey's request to add to the motion that they were going to include the two (2) signs that state, "speed bump ahead". He further advised that there would no longer be a three-way stop to be designated.

The chair wished to add that they had also wanted to state in the motion that from this point forward, the Council would not accept or authorize any more requests for speed humps until they could adopt an ordinance that regulates speed humps.

LPR NO. 24-412

MOTION was offered by Ryan Chavers and duly seconded by Ricky Goff to authorize the installation of two (2) speed humps that would include four (4) signs stating "speed bump ahead" on Mockingbird Lane located in Council District 7; and whereby instituting that the Parish Council would not accept or authorize any more requests for speed hump installations until an ordinance can be adopted to put in place procedures regulating speed humps in the Parish of Livingston, State of Louisiana.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. GOFF,
MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: MR. COATES

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

Councilman Ricky Goff wished to state that he would make a commitment to the Council that upon three to six (3-6) months after the speed humps had been installed, and confirmation from Mr. Robert Dugas, the Director of the Department of Public Works, that the speed hump installation had been completed, he would like to visit with the whole neighborhood on Mockingbird to obtain another consensus and their input, so that they can use this data in the ordinance proposed for the regulation of speed humps.

The chair stated that before moving to the next agenda item, the Council needed to revisit when they had went through an ordinance adoption earlier in the meeting. He explained that even though people had the ability to speak, technically, they did not have an Official Public Hearing.

The chair addressed agenda item 11, "Public Hearing and Adoption of L.P. Ordinance No. 24-31: An Ordinance to acquire immovable property for the Parish of Livingston pursuant to the Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant Program Project Number EMT-2022-FM-003-0029 Livingston Parish: Brent Wayne Arledge and Amanda Michelle Rickerson Arledge, 30378 Dawson Lane, Hammond, La 70403 – Heather Crain, Grants Department", and stated that he would like to open the Public Hearing for this item.

The chair asked if there were any one that was present who wished to speak for or against in relation to this agenda item.

Having none, the chair closed the Public Hearing.

The chair thanked everyone and moved to the next agenda item.

The chair addressed agenda item number 13, “Adopt a resolution to name an unnamed servitude “Blan Road” off N. Horseshoe Road in Council District 9” and called upon Councilman Joseph “Joe” Erdey.

Councilman Erdey advised that they were just looking for basically a red sign, not to be parish maintenance. He stated that they developed some land, cleared some land and put a nice gravel road down it and explained that they were just looking for a name for the road, which would be “Blan Road”.

LPR NO. 24-413

MOTION was offered by Joe Erdey and duly seconded by Lonnie Watts to name an unnamed road, “Blan Road” for 911 purposes located off of North Horseshoe Road in Council District 9 as approved in writing by the Livingston Parish Assistant Permit Director on November 14, 2024.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 14, “Adopt resolution to accept Preston Pointe Drive as a Type D Road located in Council District 1”, called upon Councilman Lonnie Watts.

Councilman Lonnie Watts stated that this road meets all of the requirements for a Type D Road.

Councilman Lonnie Watts wished to make the motion and Councilman Billy Taylor advised that he would like to second that motion.

The chair asked if there were any other discussion.

Public input: Wade Holden

Mr. Holden advised that he was neither for nor against this agenda item. He just wanted to make the Council members aware that there were rules of these roads. He stated that he had actually looked at this road that evening. He instructed that you got about two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000.00) worth of repairs on it. He further stated that this is a paved road with open ditches that has to have a sixty (60’) foot right of way. Mr. Holden advised that they were supposed to provide you with a plat showing the servitude for the road. He informed them that you were supposed to have the property deed to the Parish, along with mineral rights. He instructed that he was reading from Section 58-13, Criteria for a Class D road.

Mr. Holden submitted a copy to the Deputy clerk and advised the Council members to just be careful because there were nineteen (19) residents on this road with nineteen (19) properties. He advised them to just make sure that they have it correct before they do it.

Councilman Lonnie Watts informed the Council members that it was twelve hundred and ninety-one feet (1291’) in length and it meets that requirement. He stated that it was used by the post office, mailboxes, and school buses.

The chair asked if there were any other comment. Having none, the chair called for the vote.

Councilman Dean Coates stated that he wished to ask a question before they went ahead. He

asked if there were any petitions required on this road? Is it coming into the maintenance system? He questioned what they were doing with it?

Councilman Lonnie Watts replied that they had obtained all of the signatures and IDs that were on this road. Councilman Coates asked if there were any naysayers on this road? Councilman Watts answered no, there were none.

LPR NO. 24-414

MOTION was offered by Lonnie Watts and duly seconded by Billy Taylor to accept Preston Pointe Drive by petition into the Parish maintenance system as a Type D Road; being located off of McLin Road in Council District 1.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 15, “Adopt a resolution to request DPW to put road reflectors or painted lines on Perkins Road in Council District 3 and Joe May Road in Council District 6 – Billy Taylor and John Mangus”.

Councilman Billy Taylor responded to this agenda item and stated that it read: “reflectors or paint”. Councilman Taylor advised that he was on Perkins Road the other evening and was driving while it was raining and he was unable to differentiate between the road, the ditch or anything. He reported that it had been overlaid and sealed, but had no markings. He advised that apparently, it was before their time, that in order to save money, they took that requirement out of the contractor or DPW’s specifications to mark the roads. He reported that Joe May Road was just as bad and he felt sure that everyone had roads like this in their district.

The chair stated that Councilman Billy Taylor made the motion and Councilman Ryan Chavers duly seconded that motion.

Councilman John Mangus requested to comment and stated that they had used the wording “reflectors or stripes” on this agenda item because he had been approached by the fire department chiefs who expressed their wishes to have the white blur line.

The chair called upon Mr. Robert Dugas and asked if that was something that they could do? Mr. Dugas wished to make sure that he understood, were they putting reflectors down the center? Were they striping down the center? Were they putting reflectors in the curves on the edge? Were they striping?

He advised the Council members that some of that was determined by the width of the road. He indicated that they could go stripe it on the center, but you needed to have at least eight (8’) feet from the center to the edge of the road because that was the smallest lane that you could have for a car. He explained that this meant, if you have a fourteen (14’) foot road, you could not put a center line and have eight (8’) feet, because then you would be running over the centerline.

Councilman Ryan Chavers asked if he could make a suggestion? He referred to Mr. Dugas’s question to the Council members whether they should do reflectors or striping. He thought that they should do reflectors and reasoned that if you were driving at night and it was raining, you would see reflectors better at night than striping it.

Councilman Mangus stated that some roads like Joe May, have reflectors, but no stripes, and advised that is where the “or” came in on this agenda item.

Mr. Dugas wished to ask the question: “Why striping?”

Councilman Mangus asked how do you know where the center is? Mr. Dugas advised with the reflectors.

Councilman Mangus responded and advised that you would think that, however, when driving on Joe May Road and it twists and turns it is very hard to tell.

Mr. Dugas advised that the only striping that you could get that was going to work, was thermoplastic, which is three (3) to (4) times more than just the white line. He explained that he could put a white line down the middle of the road, but the driver would not be able to view it.

The chair allowed an open discussion.

LPR NO. 24-415

MOTION was offered by Billy Taylor and duly seconded by Ryan Chavers to request that the Livingston Parish Department of Public Works place road reflectors or painted lines on Perkins Road in Council District 3 and Joe May Road in Council District 6.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. WATTS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 16, “Adopt a resolution to request DPW to put a “SLOW DOWN, CHILDREN PRESENT” sign on Strawberry Lane in Council District 9 – Joseph “Joe” Erdey”.

Councilman Joe Erdey called upon Mr. Robert Dugas. He asked if he was familiar with the location of Strawberry Lane? He conveyed that there were children present on the road and he and Mr. Dugas had spoken about it and had come into agreement with the way that the wording would be for this signage. Mr. Dugas questioned where Councilman Erdey wished for the signage to be placed?

Councilman Erdey indicated that the signage should be installed about a hundred (100) yards down the road.

LPR NO. 24-416

MOTION was offered by Joe Erdey and duly seconded by Ricky Goff to request that the Livingston Parish Department of Public Works install “Slow Down, Children Present” signage on Strawberry Lane, to be located approximately one hundred (100) yards down this road in Council District 9.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. WATTS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 17a, “ Adopt resolution to add the following road(s) to the Priority Road List for consideration: Clearwater Drive, Council District 5 – Erin Sanderfur”. The chair asked if this was located in Shadow Springs? Councilwoman Sandefur answered in the affirmative and advised that it was located at one (1) of the entrances.

PARISH OF LIVINGSTON
STATE OF LOUISIANA

LPR NO. 24-417

MOTION was offered by Erin Sandefur and duly seconded by Ryan Chavers to add the following road for consideration to the Capital Outlay/Priority Road List:

- Clearwater Drive – Council District 5

2024/2025 ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM – ROAD ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT
3 – YEAR PRIORITY ROAD LIST
PARISH ROAD ENGINEER’S RECOMMENDATION LIST FOR 2024/2025 ROADS

ROAD		LOCATION	DISTRICT
1	Baptiste Drive	Off of LA 447	1
2	Alesha Drive	Located in Alesha Plantation Subdivision	1
3	Nancy Drive	Off of LA Hwy 1024	1
4	Gene Hughes Road	Off of LA Hwy 63	1 & 9
5	Bridges Road	Off of US Hwy 190	1
6	Jessie Sibley Road	Off of Lod Stafford Road	1
7	Lexton Lane	Located in Cornerstone Estates Subdivision	1
8	North Doyle Road	Between Us Hwy 190 and LA Hwy 442	1
9	Hornsby Road	Off of LA Hwy 63	2
10	Nan Wesley Road	Between Sims Road and LA Hwy 1023	2
11	Garden Oaks Drive	Located in Oak Hills Subdivision	2
12	Oak View Lane	Located in Oak Hills Subdivision	2
13	Oak Haven Lane	Located in Oak Hills Subdivision	2
14	Oakmount Drive	Located in Oak Hills Subdivision	2
15	Oakchase Drive	Located in Oak Hills Subdivision	2
16	Oakwilde Drive	Located in Oak Hills Subdivision	2
17	Perkins Road North	Between LA Hwy 1024 and LA Hwy 1019	2
18	Fisher Road	B/t LA HWY 1025 and Jennifer Lynn Road	3
19	Harrells Lane	Off of Netterville Road	3
20	Netterville Road	Off of Dunn Road	3
21	Glenbrook Drive	Off of Westfield Drive	4
22	Curry Davis Road	Off of LA Hwy 16	4
23	Third Street	Between Railroad Avenue and S. College Drive	4
24	North College Street	Off of North Range Avenue	4
25	White Oak Drive	Between Pine Street and Kay Drive	4
26	East Street	Between Bay Street and US 190	4
27	Summers Street	Between Bay Street and US 190	4
28	Oak Street	Between North Range and Poplar Street	4
29	Benton Lane	Between South River Road and Lakeview Drive	4
30	Woodhaven Drive	Located in South Point Subdivision	5
31	Snowdon Avenue	Located in South Point Subdivision	5
32	Scvicque Road	Located Between LA Hwy 1032 & LA Hwy 16	5
33	Wellington Avenue	Located in South Point Subdivision	5
34	Country Manor	Located in South Point Subdivision	5
35	Spillers Ranch	Located between LA Hwy 1026 & Brown Road	5
36	Cypress Drive	Off Of Rolling Acres Drive	5
37	Denver Street	Off of James Street	5
38	Oak Ridge Drive	Off of LA Hwy 16	5
39	Orleans Avenue	Off of Carter Hills Avenue	5
40	Vincent Acres Circle	Off of LA Hwy 16	5
41	Beauregard Avenue	Located in Le Place Estates Subdivision	5
42	Lafayette Street	Located in Le Place Estates Subdivision	5

43	Bastille Drive	Located in Le Place Estates Subdivision	5
44	Iberville Drive	Located in Le Place Estates Subdivision	5
45	Bienville Drive	Located in Le Place Estates Subdivision	5
46	Harris Road	Located Between La Hwy 1032 & LA Hwy 16	5
47	Arbor Walk Drive	Off of LA 447	6
48	Hood Road	B/tw LA 447 and S. Satsuma Road	6
49	Scivicque Lane (Port Vincent)	Off Of LA 16 (Port Vincent)	6
50	Gourdon Lane (Port Vincent)	Off Of LA 16 (Port Vincent)	6
51	Marlene Avenue	Off of Brown Road (Deville Estates)	6
52	Donna Avenue	Located in Deville Estates	6
53	Connie Drive	Located in Deville Estates	6
54	Keith Drive	Located in Deville Estates	6
55	Parliament Drive	Located in Deville Estates	6
56	Glen Ellis Drive	Off of LA 447	6
57	Parkwood Avenue	Located in Woodland Crossing Subdivision ENTR	6
58	Sandy Bluff Road (Port Vincent)	Of Brickyard Road (Port Vincent)	6
59	Balmoral Drive	Located in South Haven Subdivision	6
60	Pennbrook Avenue	Located in South Haven Subdivision	6
61	Newport Drive	Located in South Haven Subdivision	6
62	Bedford Drive	Located in South Haven Subdivision	6
63	Glenbrooke Drive	Located in Woodland Crossing Subdivision	6
64	Hammack Road	Located Between LA Hwy 447 & LA Hwy 16	6
65	South Satsuma Road	Located Between US Hwy 190 & LA Hwy 42	6
66	Black Mud Road	B/t S. Satsuma Road and Lockhart Lane	7
67	Hodges Lane	Located B/t LA Hwy 447 and Duff Road	7
68	Milton Road	B/t LA 1027 and Burgess Road	7
69	Fletcher Road	Located off of US Hwy 190	7
70	Duff Road	Located between Burgess Ave & LA Hwy 1025	7
71	Grand Lake Blvd	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
72	Perrilloux Road	B/tw LA 63 and S. Satsuma Road	8
73	Old Ferry Road	Off Of LA 16 (Port Vincent)	8
74	Kinchen Road	From Jack Allen Road to FSES	8
75	Henry Road (Killian)	Off of LA 22 in Killian	8
76	Riverscape Drive	Located in Riverscape Subdivision	8
77	Coles Creek Drive	Located in Riverscape Subdivision	8
78	Tchula Drive	Located in Riverscape Subdivision	8
79	Feathers Nest Drive	Located in Riverscape Subdivision	8
80	Peace Point Drive	Located in Riverscape Subdivision	8
81	Indian Run - RS	Located in Riverscape Subdivision	8
82	Linda's Haven Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
83	Leader Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
84	Catfish Landing Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
85	Boat Launch Road	Located off of Chene Blanc Road	8
86	Lower Rome Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
87	Sharons Road	Located off of Perrin Ferry Road North	8
88	Wendall Bazille Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
89	Sophia Noel (Killian)	Terry Harbor - Killian	8
90	Fairway Drive (Killian)	Terry Harbor - Killian	8
91	Perrin Ferry Road (North)	Located off of LA Hwy 1037	8
92	Alex Willie Road	Off of Union Landing Road	8
93	George Watts Road	B/t LA 42 and Palmer Road	8
94	Watts Road	B/t LA 42 and Palmer Road	8
95	Carthage Bluff Road	Off of Lower Rome Road	8
96	Barnum Road	B/t LA 22 and Coates Road	8
97	Coates Road	B/t Carter Cemetery Road & Barnum Road	8

98	River Pines Drive	Off Of Perrin Ferry Road North	8
99	Home Port Drive	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
100	Blahut Road	Off of McCarroll Road	8
101	Gibson Street	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
102	Lorin Wall Road	Off of LA Hwy 42	8
103	South Frost Rd (Garyville Northern)	Off of LA Hwy 444	8
104	Old River Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
105	Patterson Road	Located off of LA Hwy 1037	8
106	Red Loupe Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
107	Vicknair Road	Off of LA Hwy 22	8
108	Lake Borgne Drive	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
109	Lake Sabine Drive	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
110	Lake Lery Drive	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
111	Lake Bruin Drive	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
112	Lake Bistneau Drive	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
113	Blake Lake Avenue	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
114	White Lake Avenue	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
115	Cross Lake Avenue	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
116	Lake Salvador Drive	Located in Lakeside Estates Subdivision	8
117	Bull Run Road	Between LA Hwy 22 and LA Hwy 444	8
118	Catholic Hall Road	Off of LA Hwy 43	9
119	Kropog Lane	Located off of Hungarian Presbyterian Church Rd	9
120	Pea Ridge Road	B/t LA 442 and Hwy 190	9
121	Crain Road	Off of Henry White Road	9
122	Forbes Road	Off of Henry White Road	9
123	George Mashon Road	Located Off of Horseshoe Road North	9
124	Hutchinson Cemetery Road	Off of Pea Ridge Road	9
125	James King Road	Off of LA Hwy 43	9
126	Noah Lane	Off of Pea Ridge Road	9
127	Horseshoe Road North	Off of LA Hwy 43	9
128	Mary Kinchen Road	Off of Pea Ridge Road	9
129	Hungarian Presbyterian Church Rd	Off of LA Hwy 43	9
130	George White East	Off of LA Hwy 43	9

ROADS THAT ARE NOMINATED FOR CONSIDERATION TO AMEND THE PRIORITY LIST	COUNCIL DISTRICT	PRIORITY RANK	RESOLUTION NUMBER	DATE OF ADOPTION
Henry Road (North Section)	8	tbs	LPR NO. 15-086	March 12, 2015
Henry Road (South Section) —	8	tbs	LPR NO. 15-086	March 12, 2015
Lynn Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 15-095	March 17, 2015
North Achord Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 15-167	June 11, 2015
Karli Lane (Phase 2– Off of Ross Trail/Wagner Rd)	9	tbs	LPR NO. 15-215	August 13, 2015
Charles Holden Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
John Barber Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
Leader Road	8	45	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Crain Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Gum Swamp Road (South Section)	8	tbs	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Olah Lane	9	tbs	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Kingfisher Street	2	tbs	LPR NO. 17-055	February 9, 2017
New Port Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Balmoral Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Timberlake Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Shady Hollow Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Timber Ridge Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Alder Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018

Abbey Lane (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Buckingham Avenue (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Windsor Avenue (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Hampton Court (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Wimbledon Drive (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Big Ben Drive (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Parliament Drive (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Parkwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Greenwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Havenwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Hollyridge Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Glenbrooke Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Mulberry Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Hazelwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Burlwood Avenue (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Poplar Glen Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Robindale Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Cottonwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Avondale Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Silverwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Maplewood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Sprucewood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Pinebrook Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Mimosa Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Bell Wood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Palmwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Ribbonwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Buffwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Sagewood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Wynnewood Avenue (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Myrtle Wood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Bronzewood Avenue (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Birchwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Mirkwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Jimmy Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Grand Lake Boulevard (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Borgne (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Sabine Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Lery Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Bruin Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Bistneau Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Black Lake Avenue (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
White Lake Avenue (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Cross Lake Avenue (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019

Lake Salvador Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Mack Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 19-329	October 10, 2019
Lucas Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Mary Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Page Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Ola Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Stanton Hall Avenue (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Tezcuco Avenue(Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Linder Road	3	tbs	LPR NO. 20-114	May 14, 2020
Charles King Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 20-197	June 25, 2020
Good Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 20-216	July 9, 2020
Baptiste Drive	4	tbs	LPR NO. 21-037	January 28, 2021
Nicholas Drive	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-037	January 28, 2021
Joe Lena	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-091	March 11, 2021
Oak Street	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-091	March 11, 2021
Mulberry Street	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-091	March 11, 2021
Rossow Court	6	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Ashwood Court	6	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Sparrow Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-254	August 12, 2021
Noblisse Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-254	August 12, 2021
Dick Hodges Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-322	October 28, 2021
Olah Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-322	October 28, 2021
All of the roads in Council District 8 that have not been overlayed in the last fifteen (15) years or more.	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
James Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Leroy Magee Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Charlie Watts Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Any road that is not on the list currently, that hasn't been overlayed in fifteen (15) years, be added to the list for consideration	6	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Elmer Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-026	January 27, 2022
Burgess Road(specifically frm Arnold Road to Lockhart Rd)	3	tbs	LPR NO. 22-066	February 24, 2022
River Pines	8	tbs	LPR NO. 22-135	April 28, 2022
Kinchen	6	tbs	LPR NO. 22-135	April 28, 2022
Myra Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-178	May 26, 2022
Dunn Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 22-178	May 26, 2022
Meadow Brook Boulevard	7	tbs	LPR NO. 22-282	July 14, 2022
Amvets Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 22-301	August 11, 2022
Pierson Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 22-301	August 11, 2022
Squirrel Crossing (was temporarily named Pond Road)	7	tbs	LPR NO. 22-444	December 21, 2022
Pecan Street (was temporarily known as DPW Road located next to the DPW building on Ball Park, between East Railroad and Ball Park Road)	7	tbs	LPR NO. 22-445	December 21, 2022
Vincent Place Trace	5	tbs	LPR NO. 22-445	December 21, 2022
Wellington Avenue	5	tbs	LPR NO. 22-445	December 21, 2022
Brown Road (Located B/W LA Hwy 1026 and Joe May Rd)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023

Spillers Ranch Road (Located b/w Hwy 1026 & Brown Rd)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Country Manor Avenue (in South Point Subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Andrews Road (Located b/w LA 16 and LA 447)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Kirby Road (Located off of LA Hwy 16)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Seivique Road (Located B/w La Hwy 1032 and La Hwy 16)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Vincent Acres Circle (Located off of LA Hwy 16)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Cullen Road (Located b/w LA 447 and Andrews Rd)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Garrison Lane (Located off of LA 1032)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Partridge Lane (Located off of Falcon Crest Drive)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Bond Road (Located off of LA 1033)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Teakwood Drive (Located in South Point Subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Woodstock Drive (Located in Shadow Springs Estates)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Lafayette Street (Located in LePlace Estates subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Hemisphere Lane(Located B/w La Hwy 1026 & Lee Ellis Rd)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Sundown Drive(Located in Rolling Meadows Subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Iberville Drive (Located in LePlace Estates Subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Bienville Drive (Located in Le Place Estates Subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Arlington Avenue (Located off of Harris Road)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-028	January 12, 2023
Snowdon Avenue	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Live Oak Avenue	2	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Calmes Road	2	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Benton	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Dawes	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Myra	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Angie	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Tom	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-157	April 13, 2023
Netterville (Duplicate)	3	tbs	LPR NO. 23-221	May 11, 2023
Harrell	3	tbs	LPR NO. 23-221	May 11, 2023
Sutton Road	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-308	July 27, 2023
Alesha Lane	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
South Range	1	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
Ohio Street	1	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
Nottoway Drive (Alesha Plantation Subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
Oak Alley (Alesha Plantation Subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
Rosedown Drive (Alesha Plantation Subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
Nan Wesley	2	tbs	LPR NO. 23-347	August 10, 2023
Audubon Avenue (Plantation Garden Homes)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-364	August 24, 2023
Tara Drive (Plantation Garden Homes)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-364	August 24, 2023
Plantation Lake Avenue	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-364	August 24, 2023
Quiet Creek Drive	5	tbs	LPR NO. 23-364	August 24, 2023
Glenbrook	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-397	September 14, 2023
Netterville (added in duplicate: May 11, 2023 LPR 23-221)	3	tbs	LPR NO. 23-413	September 28, 2023

Kropog Lane	9	tbs	LPR NO. 23-413	September 28, 2023
Strawberry Lane	9	tbs	LPR NO. 23-413	September 28, 2023
South Palmetto Street	7	Tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Fletcher Lane	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Stump Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Brannon Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Dawn Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Fisher Road	3	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Dawes (Duplicate)	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Angie Street (Duplicate)	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Tom Drive (Duplicate)	4	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	October 26, 2023
Aime Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	November 9, 2023
Clarence Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	November 9, 2023
JR Drive	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	November 9, 2023
GlascocK Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	November 9, 2023
Hodges Lane	7	tbs	LPR NO. 23-450	November 9, 2023
Spring Ranch Road	6	tbs	LPR NO. 23-530	December 14, 2023
Airport Road	6	tbs	LPR NO. 23-530	December 14, 2023
Atchafalaya Avenue	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-019	January 11, 2024
Balmoral Drive (curve only that meets Pennbrook)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-019	January 11, 2024
Pennbrook Avenue (curve only that meets Balmoral)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-019	January 11, 2024
Bastille Drive	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-076	February 8, 2024
Beauregard Avenue	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-076	February 8, 2024
Gene Hughes Road	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	February 22, 2024
Country Manor (South Point Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	February 22, 2024
Parliament Drive (Duplicate) — LPR NO. 18-216	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Hampton Court (Duplicate) LPR NO. 18-216	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Big Ben Drive (Duplicate) LPR NO. 18-216	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Buckingham Avenue(Duplicate) LPR NO. 18-216	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Wimbledon Avenue (Duplicate) LPR NO. 18-216	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Lee Ellis	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Arbor Walk	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-097	March 14, 2024
Elm Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Oak	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
White Oak	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Kingwood	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Third Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
North Collage	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Secret Garden	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Benton	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Mattie	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Summer	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024

East	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Sullivan	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Rose	4	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Pennbrook	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Newport	6	tbs	LPR NO. 24-179	April 25, 2024
Rue Orleans	9	tbs	LPR NO. 24-234	June 13, 2024
Louisiana Purchase Boulevard	1	tbs	LPR NO. 24-371	October 10, 2024
Brown Pelican Street	3	tbs	LPR NO. 24-388	October 24, 2024
Blue Heron Street	3	tbs	LPR NO. 24-388	October 24, 2024
White Egret Street	3	tbs	LPR NO. 24-388	October 24, 2024
Red Cardinal Street	3	tbs	LPR NO. 24-388	October 24, 2024
Golden Eagle Street	3	tbs	LPR NO. 24-388	October 24, 2024
Clearwater Drive (Shadow Springs Subdivision)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 24-417	November 21, 2024

~~**Strikethroughs indicate this road is on the 2024/2025 Priority/Capital Outlay List~~

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

- YEAS:MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY
- NAYS:NONE
- ABSENT:MR. WATTS
- ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item 18a, “The Board (re)appointment(s)/Resignation(s): Appoint Shane Hernandez to Fire Protection District No. 4 – Ryan Chavers”.

The chair called upon Councilman Ryan Chavers who advised that the Council had previously accepted the resignation of Jeff Easley of Fire Protection District No. 4 and he wished to appoint Mr. Shane Hernandez to fill that vacancy.

LPR NO. 24-418

MOTION was offered by Ryan Chavers and duly seconded by Erin Sandefur to appoint Shane Hernandez to Fire Protection District No. 4 to fill the vacancy left by the resignation of Mr. Jeff Easley (Council District 2); two (2) year term of board appointment will expire on January 1, 2027.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

- YEAS:MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY
- NAYS:NONE
- ABSENT: NONE
- ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair advised that before the Council left the agenda item for “Board Appointments”, he called upon Councilman Billy Taylor.

Councilman Billy Taylor requested that the Council members vote to lift the agenda to accept a board resignation.

The chair explained that Councilman Taylor had received a board resignation from the Library Board of Control and it was his wishes to accept this resignation at that evening's Council meeting. He further explained that this was not on their meeting agenda and the Council would need to vote unanimously to lift the agenda in order to speak about this topic.

LPR NO. 24-419

MOTION was offered by Billy Taylor and duly seconded by Dean Coates to lift the agenda for the purpose of accepting the resignation of Shalisha Coleman Labat (District 3) from the Livingston Parish Library Board of Control, effective immediately.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair declared that the agenda had been lifted by a unanimous vote to add the acceptance of the resignation from Ms. Shalisha Coleman Labat from the Livingston Parish Library Board of Control.

The chair asked what was Councilman Taylor's pleasure?

Councilman Billy Taylor stated that Ms. Shalisha Labat, being his board appointment of Council District 3, submitted her resignation from the Livingston Parish Library Board of Control via email on Wednesday, November 20, 2024 to Councilman Taylor and her resignation was to be effective immediately. She advised Councilman Taylor that she had decided to no longer volunteer her time to the Livingston Parish Library Board.

Councilman Billy Taylor wished to fill this vacancy in two (2) weeks.

LPR NO. 24-420

MOTION was offered by Billy Taylor and duly seconded by Ryan Chavers to accept the resignation of Shalisha Coleman Labat (Council District 3) from the Livingston Parish Library Board of Control, received via email by Councilman Billy Taylor on Wednesday, November 20, 2024 - effective immediately; whereby leaving her five (5) year board appointment vacant that will expire on June 30, 2027.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

LPR NO. 24-421

MOTION was offered by Billy Taylor and duly seconded by John Mangus go back to the regular order of business for the November 21, 2024 regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted on November 21, 2024.

The chair addressed agenda item number 19a, "Committee Report(s): Finance Committee", and called upon Councilman John Mangus, Finance committee chairman.

Councilman Mangus advised that they had sufficiently covered everything earlier in the Council meeting.

The chair addressed agenda item number 18b, "Committee Report(s): Ordinance Committee: Recommendation(s) of Ordinance introduction(s)", and called upon Councilman Dean Coates, Ordinance committee chairman.

Councilman Coates advised that as usual, at the end of the year, things get a little slower so there was nothing to report at that time. He stated that they would let everyone know when they had ordinances in the que and resume meeting again.

The chair addressed agenda item number 20, "District Attorney's Report" and called upon Mr. Chris Moody. Mr. Moody stated that he did not have anything to report that evening.

The chair wished to make a statement before closing and the motion to adjourn. He hoped that everyone would have a wonderful and pleasant Thanksgiving. He asked for everyone to please take a moment and be thankful.

Councilman Dean Coates stated that he would like everyone to know that early voting begins the next day and encouraged everyone to be sure that they get out to vote. He advised that they had a very important Charter Amendment on the ballot and everyone needed to make sure that whether they were for it or against it, they needed to get out and vote because it was very important for the Parish.

Having no further business, a motion to adjourn was requested until they would meet at the next regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council scheduled on Thursday, December 5, 2024 at the hour of six o'clock (6:00) p.m. in Livingston, Louisiana.

LPR NO. 24-422

MOTION was offered by John Mangus and duly seconded by Billy Taylor to adjourn the November 21, 2024 regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MANGUS, MR. CHAVERS, MR. WASCOM, MR. TAYLOR, MR. COATES,
MR. GOFF, MR. WATTS, MS. SANDEFUR, MR. ERDEY

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had been carried and was adopted and that the meeting was adjourned.

\s\ Sandy C. Teal

Sandy C. Teal, Council clerk

\s\ John Wascom

John Wascom, Council chairman

The audio and video for this meeting may be found in its entirety on the Livingston Parish Council's YouTube page at:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i8mNXHxW1Gs>

It may also be found on the Livingston Parish Council's website at:

<https://www.livingstonparishcouncil.com/>

If you have any questions, please contact Sandy Teal at the Livingston Parish Council office at (225)686-3027.