

Minutes of the Livingston Parish Council
Livingston, Louisiana
January 27, 2022

The Livingston Parish Council met in a regular session duly called, advertised, and convened at its regular meeting place, the Parish Council Chambers, Governmental Building, 20355 Government Boulevard, Livingston, Louisiana, on Thursday, January 27, 2022, at the hour of six o'clock (6:00) p.m. with the following Livingston Parish Council members present:

Garry Talbert	
Maurice "Scooter" Keen	Tracy Girlinghouse
John Wascom	Randy Delatte
R.C. "Bubba" Harris	Shane Mack
Jeff Ard	

Also present: Christopher Moody, Parish legal counsel
Mark Harrell, Director of the Livingston Parish Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness: appearing on behalf of the Parish President in his absence
Jennifer Meyers, Parish Director of Finance

Absent: Gerald McMorris
Parish President Layton Ricks

The chair called the meeting to order at 6:08 p.m.

The chair asked the public to please mute or turn off their cell phones.

The chair announced that Public Input would be accepted from any member of the audience wishing to address an agenda item and explained the procedure to be called upon.

The chair addressed agenda item number 7, "Presentations". Having none, the chair moved to the next agenda item.

The chair addressed agenda item number 8, "Adoption of the Minutes of the January 13, 2022 regular meeting of the Council".

LPR NO. 22-018

MOTION was made by Tracy Girlinghouse and duly seconded by R.C. "Bubba" Harris to dispense with the reading of the minutes from the January 13, 2022 regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council and adopt as written.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair addressed agenda item number 9a, "Parish President's Report: Florida Parishes Human Services Authority Board member term appointment".

Councilman Garry Talbert spoke on behalf of the Parish President and referred to a letter of recommendation from the Florida Parishes Human Services Authority Board to reappoint Charlene Lilly and stated that the Parish President would like the Council to make that reappointment on his behalf.

LPR NO. 22-019

MOTION was made by Garry Talbert and duly seconded by Shane Mack to reappoint Charlene Lilly (resident of Council District 7) to the Florida Parishes Human Services Authority, as per Louisiana Revised Statute 28:913.2(A), to serve a three (3) year term that will expire on December 31, 2024.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair addressed agenda item number(s) 10a through d, “Livingston Parish Grants Office”, and called upon Mark Harrell, Livingston Parish Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness Director.

Mr. Harrell addressed the Council members and advised that he was present on behalf of Steve Kistler, Grants Manager, to address these agenda items in Mr. Kistler’s absence.

Mr. Harrell addressed agenda item number 10a, “Introduction of ordinance: An ordinance to acquire immovable property for the Parish of Livingston pursuant to the Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Project Number FMA- PJ-06-LA-2019-032 – Livingston Parish: located at 10714 Sherrie Lane Denham Springs, LA 70726”, and requested that the Council members introduce this ordinance.

The following ordinance was introduced in proper written form and read by title, to wit:

L.P. ORDINANCE NO. 22-01

AN ORDINANCE TO ACQUIRE IMMOVABLE PROPERTY FOR THE
PARISH OF LIVINGSTON PURSUANT TO THE FLOOD MITIGATION
ASSISTANCE PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER FMA-PJ-06-LA-2019-032-
LIVINGSTON PARISH.

Address: 10714 Sherrie Lane Denham Springs, LA 70726
Appraised Value: \$65,000.00
Amount Offered: \$48,750.00
Legal Description: South Portion of lot 3, Sec 9-7-5, of Juban Acres

Mr. Harrell requested to address Councilman Garry Talbert’s questions for explanation of why some grants are 75-25 and advised that “FMA” is a larger amount.

LPR NO. 22-020

MOTION was offered by John Wascom and duly seconded by Randy Delatte to publish the ordinance by title in the Official Journal in accordance with the legal mandates and set a Public Hearing for Thursday, February 10, 2022 at the hour of six o’clock (6:00) p.m. at the Parish Council Chambers in the Governmental Building located at 20355 Government Boulevard, Livingston, Louisiana, at which time comments will be received on the proposed ordinance prior to a Council vote.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

LPR NO. 22-021

MOTION was offered by Garry Talbert and duly seconded by Tracy Girlinghouse to authorize the Parish President to execute the contract agreement between the Livingston Parish Council and Gulf States Services, LLC for the Sims Road Improvements Project (State Project No. H.013543).

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair advised that they would need to go back to agenda item 10a, “ Introduction of ordinance: An ordinance to acquire immovable property for the Parish of Livingston pursuant to the Flood Mitigation Assistance Program Project Number FMA- PJ-06-LA-2019-032 – Livingston Parish: located at 10714 Sherrie Lane Denham Springs, LA 70726” and the Council clerk announced that the Public Hearing on this proposed ordinance, being numbered L.P. No. 22-01, would be held on Thursday, February 10, 2022 at six o’clock (6:00) p.m.

LPR NO. 22-022

MOTION was offered by Shane Mack and duly seconded by Tracy Girlinghouse to authorize the Parish President to enter contract negotiations and agreement with LA Terre Engineering, LLC. to perform engineering services for the Early Warning Systems and Rain Gauges Project – a Hazard Mitigation Grant Program Project – FEMA-4277-DR-LA, Project #099.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

LPR NO. 22-023

MOTION was offered by Randy Delatte and duly seconded by Shane Mack to authorize the Parish President to enter contract negotiations and agreement with Alvin Fairburn & Associates to provide professional services, to be performed for the Waterway Debris Removal; funding has been awarded to the Parish of Livingston from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and other sources for this project.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

Mr. Harrell thanked the Council members and concluded his report and presentation.

The chair wished to address agenda addendum number A-1, “Adopt Resolution to hire Deputy clerk and fill vacant position – Jeff Ard”. He called upon Ms. Jennifer Meyers, Parish Finance Director, to answer questions that had been presented in regard to financing an additional staff member to the office of the Livingston Parish Council. He explained that some of the issues that the Council office was experiencing were COVID related. He acknowledged the urgent need to have another employee added to the Council’s staff. He asked Ms. Meyers where the Council stood on financing and what methodology was available for them to do.

Ms. Meyers explained that the Council was currently budgeted for two (2) employees. She stated that the Council would need to give her a verbal authorization to go ahead and spend any additional money. She stated that the verbal was fine, however, she needed to know that when the time would come in September, when the Council actually amends the budget, that the Council would not object to that budget amendment.

She further stated that what she was hearing from the chairman was that their office had a need for an additional employee and that it was COVID related. Therefore, the additional employee could be financed through ARPA (The American Rescue Plan Act) funding. She indicated that mechanism of financing would require that the additional employee would have to be considered as a temporary employee. She explained that with the ARPA funding, they would be able to hire a full-time employee with benefits, and they would have all the privileges of any other full-time employee at the Livingston Parish Council. The temporary time span would run from the present day until December 31, 2024. Therefore, on January 1, 2025 the Council would need to hire them permanently. Ms. Meyers reiterated that the ARPA funding would pay for a temporary employee that's needed due to COVID until December 31, 2024 and thus making a financing mechanism that would allow them to not have to put that employee expenditures using Parish funds within the Council's budget.

Councilman Garry Talbert stated that his question was, if they are going to hire somebody, why do they need to hire a full clerk, don't they just hire a clerical? He stated that was the issue and they only needed somebody to answer the phone on occasion and do filing. He venomously expressed his opinion and opposed the need for another employee to be added to the office. He disputed that this was a temporary problem until the end of March and he questioned the funding of another employee. He further stated that this was a temporary situation that will resolve itself at the end of March and both people will be back in the end of March. He opined that this was a temporary situation, they're looking for a permanent solution to and his thought process is that if they hire someone, temporary clerical help, whether we make them full time or part time, he thought was irrelevant, but to put on a forty thousand dollar (\$40,000.00) a year person plus benefits, and he knew that with insurance costs, because he knows what insurance costs for one person. Ms. Meyers stated that it depended on employee only coverage or family coverage. Councilman Talbert stated that it just seemed to him from a fiscally responsible, he discerned that two people were capable of running the office, and that they had a temporary problem until the end of March and stated that it was ridiculous to consider adding another employee that he considered was a temporary problem.

Ms. Meyers indicated that she did not care either way, she was seeking direction on the Council's wishes. She advised that the Council had the option of making temporary anytime that they wanted to, so if the Council wished to advise that they wished to hire a temporary employee for ninety (90) days, that term of employment that the employee signs will be for ninety (90) days. At the end of that period, the Council would need to then evaluate if they wished to continue with their temporary status if the need was there to continue them temporarily, or if the Council would like to make them a permanent employee. She further explained that it did not have to be a one (1) time decision, the Council could structure this however and whatever time period that they wished to structure it over.

Councilman Shane Mack wished to state that it was his personal opinion that the Council office definitely need three (3) employees. He opined that there was enough work in the Council office that would keep three (3) people busy at all times. He acknowledged that he had struggled to have some of his questions answered because people have been out because of COVID and that type of thing. He stated that he thought that it was a good idea to go temporary and he would like to extend that to the full term maximum allowed through the ARPA program and would like for their duties to not be restricted.

Ms. Meyers advised if employed through the ARPA funding, there would be no restriction of duties and they would be a full-time employee, they would be a true full-time employee and the only thing temporary would be their time period.

Councilman Mack questioned that would extend to when? Ms. Meyers stated that if the Council decides that their need of that employee, due to COVID, is long term, it would be extended until the end of 2024. She further explained that she would be able to use their ARPA funding on projects through December 31, 2024. She offered that this time period could be extended, as they were very early in this ARPA funding path.

Councilman Mack quantified that he thought that using the ARPA funding would be the right move to make and he wished to make the motion that the Council go in that direction, by hiring the person on temporary, fund it out of this ARPA program, let it go to the end of 2024, as allowed through the program and get the Council back to having three (3) hard-working clerks back in the office to fulfill the needs of the Council. He stated that if the majority agreed, he would like to make that motion.

The chair advised that Councilman Mack's motion would be held until other Council members had their questions answered and gave their input.

Councilman Maurice "Scooter" Keen stated that he had called Ms. Meyers earlier that day because of his concerns that they did not budget enough for three (3) full-time employees in the last budget. He said that the only way that he would be okay with it would be as a temporary too and then they could evaluate down the road. He stated that as long as ARPA would cover it, he thought they should do that until the end of 2024.

The chair stated that he had a couple of things that he wished to address. First, he was concerned about asking a potential employee to leave their permanent job for something that says temporary. He stated that people are not easy to find and looked around at the other Council members. He continued by addressing that there were business men that he was presently sitting in attendance with, that knew that employees were not easy to find in this day and climate.

Ms. Meyers stated that was one of the reasons why you can use the ARPA funding is to be able to compete in the market place with pay. She advised that they were allowed to use it to pay jail premium for the jail nurses because they cannot competitively pay what nurses are being paid at a hospital right now.

The chair added another concern was with the funding running through 2024, the potential employee would have to realize that their employment would be determined by the next Council, not the sitting Council who was determining their job status.

Councilman John Wascom stated that unless you could make them permanent, then they would have a job by the end of next year. The chair reiterated that the Council was asking somebody to take a gamble on the job position.

Councilman Keen asked Ms. Meyers if they made them permanent right now, would it still pay through the end of 2024?

Ms. Meyers and Councilman Wascom both concurred that if they were made permanent, then it would not be ARPA funded.

Councilman Randy Delatte wished to ask a question. He stated that he had heard good proposals and good points on both sides. He acknowledged that the state of Louisiana is an "at will state" in employment. He explained that what that means is nobody's offered a permanent job. He stated that when the next group comes on, they may decide to just need one (1) clerk or they may decide that they need five (5) clerks, or this group may decide next year one (1) or five (5). None of it's permanent. He continued to state that what the treasurer has told them was good news in the fact that it doesn't cost them anything right now and they could make that decision at any time that they want to, to make that decision, but the good news was that it will not come out of their pocket. He wished to concur and he liked what Councilman Talbert had said and he wouldn't be opposed to what he said either. He stated that he had a little bit of confusion over who is the supervisor of the Council office. He asked if the Council clerk was the supervisor of those employees? The chair agreed that she was. Councilman Delatte asked when the Council would hire those employees, it would be the Council clerk's job to delegate and give them the duties that they would have. The chair agreed. Councilman Delatte stated that he did not think that they should get into the part of saying you can only do this, or you can only do that. He felt that the clerk should be hired to work under the Council clerk's supervision if the Council decides to hire one. He indicated that he would be okay with hiring one if the Council does not have to pay, but a later time when they would have to pay, he did not want to hurt anyone else's feelings, but he felt that the office could run on two (2) employees.

Councilman Tracy Girlinghouse reiterated to be clear, most of them had been in their elected Council seats for six (6) years, and in that six (6) years, the Council has had three (3), sometimes four (4) employees working as their staff in the office. He stated that just because

they were operating at two (2), doesn't mean that it's ideal. His observation and opinion was that the office is just getting by. He wanted to make it clear to everyone that the standard has always been three (3), until last year when the office went down to two (2) employees, and then the Council amended the budget for two (2) because they only had two (2) at the time. He stated that the Council office has always had three (3) or four (4) office staff, that is the way that it was. He remembered in the past, that at one point when he was the appointed chairman, they didn't train everyone the same. He asserted that if they have three (3) people and if two (2) are sick, the Council needs one (1) to be able to sit and run the meeting. He recognized that he pushed for everyone to be trained for every job at some capacity because it is important. He wished to state that his point was, the budget was amended to two (2) employees last year, while it's pretty much always been three (3). He indicated that they were not asking for anything special, and the request was for the office to go back to the way that it was. He stated that just because you can operate with two (2) people in the office, doesn't mean that it's ideal. He acknowledged that it was a lot of stress, a lot of mistakes can get made because one (1) or two (2) people are trying to push to get something done and there are a lot of things that have to be exactly right. He stated that he just wanted to clear that up, everyone knows that the Council have had three (3) people for the most part and he opined that the office needed three (3) right now. He professed that he understood the point on the ARPA funds, but on the other hand he understood with what the chairman had previously stated, that you are asking someone to be hired on a temporary basis. He also agreed with Councilman Delatte, that Louisiana was an at will state and all of our jobs are temporary to some degree. Councilman Girlinghouse advised that he did not have a problem with the ARPA funding, but he did wish to clarify that the Council office normally operates with three (3) clerks.

Councilman Delatte questioned how long was "normally operating with three (3)" mean? Councilman Girlinghouse replied that since he had been on the Council for six (6) years, it has always been three (3) sometimes four (4).

Ms. Meyers suggested that the Council could choose their last day for temporary employment on December 31, 2023, or whatever is before the new term of office. She advised that the Council did not have to wait until December 31, 2024, they are temporary while you have a need due to COVID, and when you do not have a need due to COVID, then you can make them permanent.

Councilman R.C. "Bubba" Harris stated that he felt that the Council should go ahead and hire the person back permanently, just like it was before. The chair agreed with Councilman Harris and stated that they were not asking for something new, they were just trying to put the office back the way it was.

Councilman Talbert spoke out of turn and accused the Parish Council chair, that as the Finance committee chairman, when the Council introduced the budget, it did not have the third person in it, and the recommendation that came out of the Finance committee was to go with two (2) people, and all of a sudden now, they were two (2) weeks into the new year, and we want to amend the budget to put a third person.

The chair rebutted Councilman Talbert's statements and advised that the way that Councilman Talbert brought it to Councilman Ard when he was serving as the Finance committee chairman, when adopting the budget last year, was that they were going to do this to the end of the year, and then they would look into bringing that person back.

Councilman Talbert advised that he don't remember saying that, and continued to argue that from the beginning, he thought that they needed to hire a temp, a part time clerical person.

The chair queried if Councilman Talbert had a problem with the Council hiring a third person when they hired the current Deputy clerk. Councilman Talbert acknowledged that he did not, but he had observed that their office operated with two (2) people very efficiently. The chair rebutted in a COVID year when there was nothing going on.

Councilman Talbert opined that the issue was that they had one (1) clerk out on Family Medical Leave and that left one (1) clerk by themselves and he felt that it was a temporary problem that will resolve itself at the end of March. He stated that the office would then have two (2) people available all of the time.

The chair questioned how often will that clerk have to leave with her child that's just been born and leave one (1) person in the office again? Councilman Talbert answered that he did not know, he did not have any idea, but he felt the fact of the matter was when you have two (2)

people, then you have coverage. He stated that the issue they have now is we've got one (1) person and when that person leaves, then they have nobody. He continued to state that the Deputy clerk wasn't going to be able to leave much, because to use FMLA, you got to burn all of your comp time or your vacation time, so he didn't think that the current Deputy clerk would leave much.

The chair asked Councilman Mack if he wished to repeat his earlier motion, which basically was to hire using the ARPA funding. Councilman Mack wished to state that he thought it was a wise business decision to cover the costs with the ARPA funding and anytime that the Council would get ready, and they think that it is the right time, and COVID is no longer an issue, they could make that person permanent. He also considered the fact that the Council could extend it until 2024 and use the funds given to them by the federal government for this purpose. The funds that would have come from the budget could now be used for other purposes, and the potential temporary employee would not have any of their duties restricted. He stated that he wished to make that motion that the Council would hire an employee, and stated that he thought that was what they were doing that evening, and the Council make it a temporary position with intent to hire them on permanent whenever the Council decides that the temporary funding should not be used anymore and the Council should go ahead and hire that person and they also need to establish that the Council office needs three (3) employees and he would like to make the motion to use the ARPA to pay this employee. Councilman Mack wished to reiterate that this employee would not be restricted on their salary amount and the Council could pay them the exact amount as they would pay a permanent employee.

Ms. Meyers stated that the only thing that would be different is that you pay for them with ARPA funding due to COVID.

Councilman Mack explained that this was just his opinion and he would not be upset about anyone if they had a different opinion, he just thought that this would be a wise business decision and he wished to make that motion.

Councilman Harris stated that it could be a problem for someone to accept the position because it is considered temporary and on a temporary basis.

Councilman Keen assessed that the employee would have to have the understanding that it's not temporary. He stated that the Council needed to make sure that the employee would know this. Councilman Mack stated that in his opinion, the funding was temporary, but the person is really not, because the Council could decide to keep them on.

Councilman Keen questioned what would dictate that? Ms. Meyers advised that they were able to utilize the ARPA funding if you have a need due to COVID, if you have an increased workload, or if you have to pay a higher rate to be competitive in the marketplace because of the shortage of workers and people who are paying premium pay due to COVID work, due to the shortage of work. She further explained that it's to keep you competitive or it's because your workload has increased. She stated that at the time that the Council would deem COVID is not creating an extra workload, that the Council office just needs three (3) employees to do your normal course of business work, you make that employee permanent.

Councilman Talbert asked what was Councilman Mack's motion? The chair stated that he had received a second from Councilman Keen. Councilman Talbert questioned if the motion was to hire an employee and did it qualify if they were hiring a deputy clerk or an office clerk? Did they know what the pay rate was going to be or what the pay scale is on this person that they were authorizing to hire on?

The chair advised that the Council had voted on that last year too, to make the two (2) positions the same and stated that the vote was unanimous to do that.

Councilman Keen questioned if this was to replace Angie Cornett's position that was vacant and the chairman concurred that it was.

Councilman Talbert stated that the motion was to use ARPA funds on a temporary employee at a deputy clerk's pay rate. He asked what the pay rate was and when questioned that he already knew, stated that he did not have a clue to how much it was. The chair stated that it was \$19.23 an hour.

The chair advised that there had been a motion by Councilman Mack and second by Councilman Keen. He requested that the Council clerk call roll on the proposed resolution.

LPR NO. 22-024

MOTION was offered by Shane Mack and duly seconded by Maurice “Scooter” Keen to authorize the Livingston Parish Council to hire an employee on that evening (as per Section 2-10, “Council Employees” of the Home Rule Charter), to fill the vacated Deputy Clerk position; whereby the Council shall make this a temporary position using ARPA funding for wages and benefits due to COVID circumstances affecting the Office of the Livingston Parish Council; with the intent to hire them on a permanent basis whenever the Council decides that the temporary funding should not be used anymore, consequently this employee would not be restricted on their duties; and the salary amount of a Deputy clerk position will be paid the exact same amount as they would pay a permanent employee, and accordingly, the Council should go ahead and hire that person establishing the need that the Council office needs three (3) employees.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: MR. HARRIS

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair addressed agenda item number 11, “Discussion and request for legal opinion on trucks hauling dirt on Debbie Lane – R.C. “Bubba” Harris”.

The chair announced that this item had been pulled from that agenda. Councilman Harris concurred with the chair’s statement.

The chair addressed agenda item number 12, “The Weir on Diversion” and called upon Councilman Randy Delatte.

Councilman Delatte thanked everyone for giving him the opportunity to maybe clarify a statement that he made at the last meeting.

He advised at the last meeting the way that he remembered, was that he wasn’t too upset that they didn’t have funding for the weir. He did not feel like that would help any flood protection for Livingston Parish and the basis of that statement was that without the weir there the water gets out quicker and faster. With the weir being up there, then it doesn’t provide the same job. He continued to explain why the weir is no longer there, is people took that matter into their own hands and dynamited it and removed a lot of the rocks so the water could get out. He further explained that what he made, but it seemed to offend the speaker of the house and that is why he has it up here. He wanted to assure the speaker of the house that his passion, his priority is, flood protection for all of Livingston Parish, unlike what he thought his priority is, and he would go in some details being he made some comments about Councilman Delatte.

Councilman Delatte stated that the speaker of the house was one of those main pushers to raise the Highway 22 up for Ascension Parish, that’s who he’s going to help, Ascension Parish. He’s not going to help Livingston Parish at all. If the weir gets built, that’s a dam to keep the water from going to Ascension Parish that also helps Ascension Parish and he further stated that doesn’t help us at all.

He also made a threat, is the way that Councilman Delatte took it, and he called it a promise. He also made a threat to Councilman Delatte and Maurepas High School in front of another representative and stated that they were going to raise Highway 16 where they are building a new bridge to pump additional water into Livingston. Councilman Delatte stated that he did not see anything by his remarks or his past twelve (12) years in government of any flood protection that he has done for Livingston Parish to be concerned about Councilman Delatte’s flood protection of Livingston Parish.

Councilman Delatte having said that, wished to, and he knew that this could be political and he understood if the Councilmembers couldn’t vote on it, but he would like to pass a resolution asking the speaker to endorse dredging or cutting the channel in Lake Maurepas which would directly

benefit East Baton Rouge, Ascension and Livingston Parish because that's where every drop of that water has to come and go through. Councilman Delatte also suggested that the speaker come up with his own plan. He advised that by doing one of those two (2) things could show to him that he does have an interest in Livingston Parish and not just at election time.

Councilman Delatte requested to ask Mark Harrell a question if the chair would allow him to do that. The chair called upon Mr. Harrell in the audience and asked if he was good with that?

Mr. Harrell came from the audience and approached the lectern to answer Councilman Delatte's question.

Councilman Delatte asked if Mr. Harrell at any time that he stopped this weir project since Councilman Delatte had been in office? He asked if he had instructed Mr. Harrell to do anything to tell you no, don't go forward? Mr. Harrell responded that he had absolutely not, and that no one on the Council has ever had a negative comment about it. Councilman Delatte asked if it was in Mr. Harrell's opinion, is the weir even located in Livingston Parish? Mr. Harrell stated that in fact, it is not located in Livingston Parish, and wished to distribute maps to illustrate the location of the weir to the Councilmembers.

*** A copy of the map was not given to the Council clerk and therefore cannot be included in the record.*

Councilman Garry Talbert asked Mr. Harrell why is it our responsibility to get it built or prepared? Councilman Delatte stated in his opinion it's not. Mr. Harrell stated that it was not Livingston Parish's responsibility, however, there were some concerns way back that the weir was causing some flooding. He continued to explain that the Parish went through the hazard mitigation program to do nothing more than to study it, and see if it would pass and would benefit. However, after the Parish did Phase I of it, it will not benefit, as a matter of fact, it will cause an adverse reaction to some folks just upstream. He further explained that when you cause an adverse reaction to anything, FEMA, or any federal dollars are stopped at that point, so the only way that it could be done in the future is to mitigate the homes up above it. You cannot force them to sell, you cannot force them to elevate, so he advised that they were at a dead standstill. Mr. Harrell advised that he wished to go a little bit further and explain that he really wasn't happy with a comment that he had heard that someone made.

Mr. Harrell stated that in 1965, the weir was completed, and at that point and time with the Corps of Engineers, there was an agreement between Livingston, Ascension and East Baton Rouge to equally maintain the weir for eternity and it's all in the legal documents, but that was in '56. However, in 1963, Ascension opened up the weir for boat traffic with the Corps of Engineers' blessing, to put a channel there to move back and forth. In May of 1963 there was an agreement signed stating that Ascension would maintain the weir from now to eternity on their own. Councilman Maurice "Scooter" Keen requested how they could obtain a copy of this agreement. Mr. Harrell advised that he could text it to Councilman Keen later.

Councilman Keen stated that the way that he was approached was that the Parish Council would be building that weir, and apparently the Parish Council is not. Councilman Delatte questioned who had told Councilman Keen that. Councilman Keen advised that it was Clay Schexnayder. Mr. Harrell asked what would happen if the Parish did not do the weir? Councilman Keen replied that he had been informed that the Parish would then be cutting out all funding for their road projects that were located in Livingston Parish.

Councilman Delatte questioned if he was going to punish the citizens of Livingston Parish?

Councilman Keen stated that he had no desire to quarrel with Representative Schexnayder, but that was not the way to approach things, especially if it's not the duty of the Parish Council to take care of that weir.

Mr. Harrell stated that when they started the mitigation project to see if it was a good project, they asked the other two (2) parishes if they would help us with a cost share. He explained that they sought to get the two (2) neighboring parishes to agree to do a contribution to the project, each being one-third (1/3) of the costs. Mr. Harrell was unable to get either to agree for a contribution of a third (1/3) of the costs for just the beginning of phase 1 of the project. He stated that the Parish owed it to their citizens to do the research to see if there would be any benefit for the citizens of the Parish of Livingston, or in addition, if there is not any benefit.

Councilman Delatte stated that the resolution that he was asking support from the Councilmembers was, that our flood problem is just not a Livingston problem, it's not just a Council District 8

problem, or District 1 problem, the Parish's flood problem needs to be a state mandated problem just like they're raising the road up to help Ascension, they call that a state project, and that takes sponsorship from our representative Clay Schexnayder. Councilman Delatte suggested to ask Representative Schexnayder to support a project that would alleviate flooding in Livingston Parish. He stated that his personal pet peeve is a channel through lake that they need all the way out to the north pass. He continued to state that if Representative Schexnayder had a better project that is more cost effective, he is mostly happy to see him do something for flood protection of Livingston Parish. He stated that he would like to pass a resolution recommending that project, that he get behind it, or one that is just as good or better or anything that he feels like would help the flood protection of Livingston Parish.

The chair advised that before he received a second to his motion, he questioned if it would be good to send it to all of our representatives? Councilman Delatte stated he thought that they should, but he's the speaker, and Councilman Delatte thought that he has more power, and he's threatened to take money away from the citizens of Livingston Parish for them not to build that weir, that we have no obligation to.

The chair suggested that the resolution may have more impact if it is sent to more than one person. Councilman Delatte agreed and wished to stated that it should be sent to the entire legislative delegations, the senate and rep, along with the Governor's office. Councilman Keen agreed and wished to second the motion.

The chair asked if there were any other comment before he called for the vote.

Mr. Harrell stated that he would add the Amite River Basin Commission to the list of recipients of the proposed resolution.

Councilman R.C. "Bubba" Harris asked if Mr. Harrell could address and answer why the diversion weir was built. Mr. Harrell stated that the weir was way before his time. Councilman Delatte stated that he knew a little bit of its history. Councilman Harris stated that he had been told in the past that the reason that they built that weir was to divert that water coming down Amite River, and a certain amount would go across and go into the diversion canal and the rest of it would go on down Amite River and keep it from silting up so bad. He recalled that there used to be places that were twenty-five (25') feet to thirty (30') feet deep and today, it's barely five (5') feet. He explained that when the water was stopped from traveling down, it silted up.

Councilman Delatte stated that he wished to rebut Mr. Harris's statements. He acknowledged that was exactly word for word what the speaker said, and he advised that the statements made were not true. He argued that river is full of water all of the time, most the time on the Parish's roads and in people's houses. He stated that it gets plenty of water down there and the only time that way is within its bank is sometime during the winter when the north wind blows two (2) or three (3) days in a row and there is always water there. Councilman Delatte contended that if that was true, why does the lake fill up with water? Why does the Mississippi River have to be dredged? Why does everything have to be dredged? He advised that silt buildup will always be silt buildup if you do not remove it, current will not remove silt buildup. He also instructed that is why we just redid the Tickfaw River again, there is no weir over there, and there is more than enough water that comes through Amite River. He continued to address the Councilmembers asking them: Does is it need to be dredged? Most certainly is, is there a lot of silt in it? Most certainly. He presented that the reason that they made a boat passage, and Ascension Parish was in favor of that, is at that time, eighty (80%) percent of the recreational boat clubs belonged in Ascension Parish. He stated that they even have a boat club on that diversion right there, and they needed that for recreational use. Councilman Delatte contemplated if they would like to build that back up for flood protection? He was sure that they would, but it was going to be the end time turmoil between the parishes. Some wanting it for flood protection, and some to build it back up to build a dam or a levee for them, and some are going to want access, so they are not probably going to fight that. But the minute that they do build it up, when they did the first, you used to have just enough room for one (1) boat to pass through there and had you had to know where you were passing at. He clarified that over the times, people have removed that and now it's as wide as from here to the outside parking lot, because it gets the water out and it gets it out quicker, and people that are tired of flooding are going to take care of their own problems, and they were able to do that, and that's what happened.

Councilman Keen stated that working together was the key, to which Councilman Delatte concurred.

The chair asked if there were any other input from the public. Having none, the chair called for the vote as follows:

**STATE OF LOUISIANA
PARISH OF LIVINGSTON**

LPR NO. 22-025

MOTION was offered by Randy Delatte and duly seconded by Maurice “Scooter” Keen to request support and consideration for funding a state project that would alleviate flooding in the Parish of Livingston to be presented to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Representative Clay Schexnayder; and in addition, request if Representative Schexnayder conceivably already had such a plan in place, or if he could initiate a cost effective, state funded plan for flood protection in the Parish of Livingston; and

WHEREBY, BE IT RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution and additional correspondence be provided to Representative Schexnayder; and

BE IT ALSO RESOLVED that such also be included, but not limited to, the same being sent to the agglomeration of the Governor of Louisiana, the members of the Livingston Parish Legislative Delegation and the Amite River Basin Commission.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair addressed agenda item 13a through e, “Adopt resolution to add the following roads for consideration to the Priority Road/Capital Outlay List:”.

Councilman John Wascom advised that he wished to make a motion to put the following roads on the Capital Outlay/Priority Road list for consideration:

- a. Fern Drive located in Council District 4 – John Wascom
- b. Elmer Street located in Council District 4 – John Wascom
- c. Montgomery Avenue located in Council District 4 – John Wascom
- d. Bruce Drive located in Council District 4 – John Wascom
- e. Willow Brook Avenue located in Council District 4 – John Wascom

Councilman Wascom advised that he had received a phone call from the mayor of Denham Springs and received great feedback from the mayor regarding the amount of roads that have been done since they took office. He wished to compliment the Council members and let them know how proud the City of Denham Springs government is of the work that they are doing and he wished to make that motion.

**STATE OF LOUISIANA
PARISH OF LIVINGSTON**

LPR NO. 22-026

MOTION was made by John Wascom and duly seconded by Maurice “Scooter” Keen to add the following roads for consideration to the Capital Outlay/Priority Road List:

- Fern Drive located in Council District 4
- Elmer Street located in Council District 4
- Montgomery Avenue located in Council District 4
- Bruce Drive located in Council District 4
- Willow Brook Avenue in Council District 4

**2022 ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM ROAD ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT
3 – YEAR PRIORITY ROAD LIST
PARISH ROAD ENGINEER’S RECOMMENDATION LIST FOR 2022 ROADS**

	Road	Location	District	Length	Const.	Cumulative	PRIORITY SCORE
1	LOD STAFFORD ROAD	B/t LA Hwy 63 and La 449	1	17,000	\$1,173,000	\$1,173,000	42.3
2	Red Oak Road	From Texas Street to Old Doyle Road	1	3,700	\$255,300	\$1,428,300	39.0
3	Greenville Avenue	Located in Crestwood Subdivision	2	2,300	\$158,700	\$1,587,000	34.5
4	Memphis Court	Located in Crestwood Subdivision	2	500	\$34,500	\$1,621,500	30.5
5	Nashville Court	Located in Crestwood Subdivision	2	500	\$34,500	\$1,656,000	30.5
6	Wilmington Avenue	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision	2	1,500	\$103,500	\$1,759,500	30.1
7	Marietta Court	Located in Crestwood Subdivision	2	500	\$34,500	\$1,794,000	28.5
8	Beauvoir Drive	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision	2	800	\$55,200	\$1,849,200	27.4
9	Raleigh Drive	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision	2	1,400	\$96,600	\$1,945,800	26.7
10	Columbus Drive	Located in Crestwood Subdivision	2	400	\$27,600	\$1,973,400	14.5
11	Petersburg Drive	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision	2	1,100	\$75,900	\$2,049,300	23.6
12	Portsmouth Drive	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision	2	600	\$41,400	\$2,090,700	22.1
13	LIVE OAK VILLAGE ROAD	Off of LA 1019 near LA 16	2	1,260	\$86,940	\$2,177,640	20.9
14	Lexington Drive	Located in Crestwood Subdivision	2	1,000	\$69,000	\$2,246,640	22.1
15	Melrose Avenue	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision (Drain Crossing portion)	2	3,250	\$224,250	\$2,470,890	17.9
16	Portsmouth Drive	Located in Richmond Place Subdivision	2	900	\$62,100	\$2,532,990	22.1
17	Gravesbriar Drive	Off of LA 1019	2	4,000	\$276,000	\$2,808,990	27.5
18	Perkins Crossing Road	Off of Cooper Allen (LA 1024)	3	1,350	\$93,150	\$2,902,140	34.4
19	Barnett Lane	Off of LA 1026 (Lockhart Road)	3	3,000	\$207,000	\$3,109,140	24.1
20	Dixon Jones Boulevard	Off of US HWY 190 (gravel)	3	1,200	\$82,800	\$3,191,940	4.1
21	Fern Road	Off of Jean Street (Rushing Rd W)	4	850	\$58,650	\$3,250,590	43.1
22	WOODLAND STREET (South Section)	B/t Rodeo Dr and dead end	4	1,142	\$78,798	\$3,329,388	37.9
23	PINE BLUFF ROAD	Off Range Ave S of Old River Road	4	5,220	\$360,180	\$3,689,568	33.2
24	Railroad Street	B/t Benton St. and N Range Avenue	4	300	\$20,700	\$3,710,268	32.1
25	Myrtle Street	B/t Woodland St and Dixie St	4	2,400	\$165,600	\$3,875,868	18.1
26	Brookfield Drive	Off Carolyn Avenue	4	1,100	\$75,900	\$3,951,768	17.5
27	Eastover Boulevard	Off of LA HWY 16	5	2,200	\$151,800	\$4,103,568	52
28	Avoyelles Avenue	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision	5	4,300	\$296,700	\$4,400,268	48.1
29	Caddo Drive	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision (Off John L	5	1,900	\$131,100	\$4,531,368	42.5
30	Commerical Drive	Located off of LA 16/Vincent Road	5	650	\$44,850	\$4,576,218	38.6
31	OMA HARRIS LANE	Located off of Hillion Hood Road	5	2,900	\$200,100	\$4,776,318	30.1
32	Feliciana Avenue	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision	5	900	\$62,100	\$4,838,418	23.7
33	Ascension Court	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision	5	350	\$24,150	\$4,862,568	20.6
34	Acadia Court	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision	5	500	\$34,500	\$4,897,068	19.5
35	GREYSTONE DRIVE	Located off of Wax Road/Greystone Subdivision	5	1,950	\$134,550	\$5,031,618	19.2
36	Iberia Drive	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision	5	430	\$29,670	\$5,061,288	17.7
37	Aztec Drive	Off of Eastover Boulevard	5	1,000	\$69,000	\$5,130,288	17.5
38	Concordia Drive	Located in Livingston Trace Subdivision	5	400	\$27,600	\$5,157,888	14.3
39	St. Andrews Ct	Located in Greystone Subdivision (original portion of road)	5	500	\$34,500	\$5,192,388	12.3
40	Ben Jones Road	Off of LA 447	6	2,200	\$151,800	\$5,344,188	37
41	Cooper Drive	Off of LA 16 in Port Vincent	6	2,500	\$172,500	\$5,516,688	35.5
42	Millstone Drive	Located in Woodland Crossing Subdivision	6	2,960	\$204,240	\$5,720,928	35.3
43	Juban Parc Avenue	Off of Brown Road in Juban Parc Subdivision	6	2,450	\$169,050	\$5,889,978	33.8
44	Lemonwood Drive	Located in Forest Ridge Subdivision	6	1,700	\$117,300	\$6,007,278	32.2
45	Stonehenge Drive	Located in Westminster Subdivision	6	2,200	\$151,800	\$6,159,078	32
46	Meadowview Drive	Located in Forest Ridge Subdivision	6	2,000	\$138,000	\$6,297,078	31.9
47	Copperwood Drive	Located in Forest Ridge Subdivision	6	1,200	\$82,800	\$6,379,878	28.8
48	Coronation Drive	Located in Westminster Subdivision	6	750	\$51,750	\$6,431,628	30.3
49	Park Street	B/t US Hwy 190 and AydeLL Lane	7	1,300	\$89,700	\$6,521,328	37.6
50	Buff Street	B/t US Hwy 190 and Burgess Avenue	7	600	\$41,400	\$6,562,728	35.6
51	Autumn Run Drive	Off of LA HWY 447 (Autumn Run Subdivision)	7	1,900	\$131,100	\$6,693,828	35.5
52	King Street	B/t US Hwy 190 and Burgess Avenue	7	700	\$48,300	\$6,742,128	33.1
53	TIMBERWOOD DRIVE	The Lakes at Fenwood Subdivision	7	1,480	\$102,120	\$6,844,248	32
54	LONDON DRIVE	Located in Driftwood Estates Subdivision	7	1,210	\$83,490	\$6,927,738	28.1
55	Keith Street	Off of LA Hwy 447	7	2,250	\$155,250	\$7,082,988	28.1
56	Russell Way	Off of LA HWY 447 (Collins Place Subdivision)	7	550	\$37,950	\$7,120,938	27.4
57	Williamsburg Drive	Located in Collins Place Subdivision	7	2,800	\$193,200	\$7,314,138	27.1
58	Johnson Lane	Off of Travis Street	7	700	\$48,300	\$7,362,438	26.4
59	JADEN DRIVE	Located in Driftwood Estates Subdivision	7	1,210	\$83,490	\$7,445,928	24.1
60	MACI DRIVE	Located in Driftwood Estates Subdivision	7	410	\$28,290	\$7,474,218	15.1
61	Sunland Drive (entrance portion)	Off of US HWY 190	7	400	\$27,600	\$7,501,818	2.2
62	Training Center Boulevard	Off of Woodside Drive	7	1,700	\$117,300	\$7,619,118	1.1
63	PALMER ROAD - PH 2	B/t LA 42 and LA 444	8	12,000	\$828,000	\$8,447,118	52.9
64	M Guitreau	Off of LA Hwy 444	8	4,600	\$317,400	\$8,764,518	42.8
65	Boat Landing Road	Off of Chene Blanc Lane	8	600	\$41,400	\$8,805,918	46.2
66	Richardson Road	Off of Phillip Richardson Road	8	2,700	\$186,300	\$8,992,218	57.6
67	Phillip Richardson Road	Off of LA 42	8	4,400	\$303,600	\$9,295,818	53.7
68	Clay Street	Off of First Street	9	1,100	\$75,900	\$9,371,718	35.4
69	Second Street	Off of LA Hwy 43	9	800	\$55,200	\$9,426,918	32.1
70	Livingston Street	Off of First Street	9	1,200	\$82,800	\$9,509,718	29.5
71	PETE SMITH ROAD	B/t Charles Holden Rd and Florida Blvd (US 190)	9	5,470	\$377,430	\$9,887,148	35.1
72	Wagner Road	Between Pea Ridge Road and LA Hwy 43	9	11,250	\$776,250	\$10,663,398	45.6
#####							

<u>ROADS THAT ARE NOMINATED FOR CONSIDERATION TO AMEND THE PRIORITY LIST</u>	<u>Council District</u>	<u>Priority Rank</u>	<u>Resolution Number</u>	<u>Date of Adoption</u>
Henry Road (North Section)	8	tbs	LPR NO. 15-086	March 12, 2015
Henry Road (South Section)	8	tbs	LPR NO. 15-086	March 12, 2015
Lynn Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 15-095	March 17, 2015
North Achord Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 15-167	June 11, 2015
Karli Lane (Phase 2– Off of Ross Trail/Wagner Road)	9	tbs	LPR NO. 15-215	August 13, 2015
South Satsuma Road (Phase 3)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 15-215	August 13, 2015
Peak Lane	7	tbs	LPR NO. 15-215	August 23, 2015
Charles Holden Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
John Barber Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
Nature’s Way	1	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
Old CC Road (formerly known as Slaughter Pen Road)	9	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
Sidney Woods Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 16-086	February 25, 2016
Leader Road	8	45	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Crain Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Gum Swamp Road (South Section)	8	tbs	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Olah Lane	9	tbs	LPR NO. 17-052	January 26, 2017
Kingfisher Street	2	tbs	LPR NO. 17-055	February 9, 2017
Spring Lake Drive (North Section)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 17-396	December14, 2017
Cedar Street	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-041	February 8, 2018
New Port Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Balmoral Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Timberlake Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Shady Hollow Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Timber Ridge Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Alder Drive	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-015	January 11, 2018
Cedar Street	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-041	February 8, 2018
Abbey Lane (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Buckingham Avenue (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Windsor Avenue (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Hampton Court (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Wimbledon Drive (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Big Ben Drive (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Parliament Drive (Westminster Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Parkwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Greenwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Havenwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Hollyridge Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Glenbrooke Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018

Mulberry Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Hazelwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Burlwood Avenue (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Poplar Glen Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Robindale Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Cottonwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Avondale Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Silverwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Maplewood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Sprucewood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Pinebrook Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Mimosa Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Bell Wood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Palmwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Ribbonwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Buffwood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Sagewood Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Wynnewood Avenue (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Myrtle Wood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Bronzewood Avenue (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Birchwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Mirkwood Court (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Jimmy Drive (Woodland Crossing)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 18-216	July 12, 2018
Fountain View Drive (Spring Lake subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-345	November 8, 2018
Ruby Lake Drive (Spring Lake subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-345	November 8, 2018
Diamond Lake Drive (Spring Lake subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-345	November 8, 2018
Garnet Lake Drive (Spring Lake subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-345	November 8, 2018
Lake Terrace Drive (Spring Lake subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-345	November 8, 2018
Sapphire Drive (Spring Lake subdivision)	1	tbs	LPR NO. 18-345	November 8, 2018
Parkway Drive (South Haven Subdivision)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-041	January 24, 2019
Cheryl Drive (between Arlene Drive and Cheray Drive)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 19-136	April 25, 2019
Grand Lake Boulevard (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Borgne (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Sabine Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Lery Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Bruin Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Bistneau Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Black Lake Avenue (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
White Lake Avenue (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Cross Lake Avenue (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Lake Salvador Drive (Lakeside Estates)	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-278	August 22, 2019
Mack Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 19-329	October 10, 2019
Mecca Road Extension	6	tbs	LPR NO. 19-338	October 24, 2019
Dialtha Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020

R Dawes Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Lucas Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Mary Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Page Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Ola Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Wyeth Drive (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Stanton Hall Avenue (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Rosalie Avenue (Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Tezcuco Avenue(Easterly Lakes Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 6, 2020
Cypress Point Lane	6	tbs	LPR NO. 20-064	February 27, 2020
Hunstock Avenue *** <i>Amended on May 14, 2020 changing from Drive to Avenue</i>	2	tbs	LPR NO. 20-097 LPR NO. 20-111	March 12, 2020 May 14, 2020
Linder Road	3	tbs	LPR NO. 20-114	May 14, 2020
Charles King Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 20-197	June 25, 2020
Good Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 20-216	July 9, 2020
Oliver Wheat Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 20-236	July 23, 2020
Baptiste Drive	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-037	January 28, 2021
Nicholas Drive	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-037	January 28, 2021
Joe Lena	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-091	March 11, 2021
Oak Street	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-091	March 11, 2021
Mulberry Street	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-091	March 11, 2021
Linwood Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Railroad Avenue	4	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Third Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Rossow Court	6	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Ashwood Court	6	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Cambridge Drive	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Hollydale Drive	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Concord Drive	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Ridgeway Drive	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Brookcrest Drive	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
JW Lane	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-171	May 27, 2021
Chene Blanc Lane	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-194	June 10, 2021
Sparrow Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-254	August 12, 2021
Noblisse Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-254	August 12, 2021
Richardson Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-254	August 12, 2021
Dick Hodges Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-322	October 28, 2021
Olah Road	9	tbs	LPR NO. 21-322	October 28, 2021
Miller Road	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Columbus Drive (Crestwood Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Melrose Avenue (Richmond Place Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Petersburg Drive (Richmond Place Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021

Hunstock Avenue (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Beverly Drive (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Marion Drive (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Dama Drive (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Dama Drive (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Ashley Drive (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
Gwendolyn Drive (Hunstock Hills Subdivision)	2	tbs	LPR NO. 21-377	December 2, 2021
All of the roads in Council District 8 that have not been overlayed in the last fifteen (15) years or more.	8	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Elm Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Brannon Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
James Street	7	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Leroy Magee Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Charlie Watts Road	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Percy Young	1	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Any road that is not on the list currently, that hasn't been overlayed in fifteen (15) years, be added to the list for consideration	6	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Oma Harris Road (the gravel portion)	5	tbs	LPR NO. 21-398	December 16, 2021
Traci Avenue	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-015	January 13, 2022
Bruce Drive	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-015	January 13, 2022
Isabella Courts (Cypress Gardens Subdivision)	3	tbs	LPR NO. 22-015	January 13, 2022
La Madeline Court (Cypress Gardens Subdivision)	3	tbs	LPR NO. 22-015	January 13, 2022
Fern Drive	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-026	January 27, 2022
Elmer Street	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-026	January 27, 2022
Montgomery Avenue	4	tbs	LPR No. 22-026	January 27, 2022
Bruce Drive	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-026	January 27, 2022
Willow Brook Avenue	4	tbs	LPR NO. 22-026	January 27, 2022

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE, MR. MCMORRIS, MR. WASCOM, MR. ARD,
 MR. TALBERT, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. KEEN, MR. MACK

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Sandy C. Teal, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed Council Clerk of the Livingston Parish Council, State of Louisiana. I hereby further certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Motion adopted by the Livingston Parish Council at a regular meeting held on January 27, 2022 in which meeting a quorum was present.

WITNESS my official signature and seal of office at Livingston, Louisiana, this the 10th day of February 2022.

Sandy C. Teal, Council Clerk
Livingston Parish Council

The chair addressed agenda item 14a through cii, “Board (re)appointment(s) and Resignation(s):”

LPR NO. 22-027

MOTION was offered by Randy Delatte and duly seconded by R.C. “Bubba” Harris to appoint Gerald McMorris to serve on the Quality Assurance Panel in accordance with Section (C) of Livingston Parish Ordinance No. 07-13, providing for designation and contracting with sole provider of ambulance services in accordance with Louisiana Revised Statute 33:4791.1, which panel is responsible for reviewing issues related to the quality of the medical services and response times, the terms of the Panel members are to coincide with the term of the contract.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

LPR NO. 22-028

MOTION was made by Randy Delatte and duly seconded by R.C. “Bubba” Harris to reappoint McArthur Simoneaux (resident of Council District 8), and Dwayne Brown (resident of Council District 8) as board members of Fire Protection District No. 9, their two (2) year term(s) will expire on January 1, 2024.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

LPR NO. 22-029

MOTION was made by Randy Delatte and duly seconded by Tracy Girlinghouse to accept the resignation of Gillis Windham (resident of Council District 8) as Supervisor and Chairman of Carter Plantation Community Development District; whose four year term will expire on October 9, 2024.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

LPR NO. 22-030

MOTION was made by Randy Delatte and duly seconded by Tracy Girlinghouse to appoint Jason Ard (resident of Council District 8) to the Board of Supervisors of Carter Plantation Community Development District to fill the vacant position created by the resignation

of Gillis Windham (resident of Council District 8) and to serve the remainder of that four year term that will expire on October 9, 2024.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

- YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE, MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD
- NAYS: NONE
- ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS
- ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair addressed agenda item number 15a, “Committee Report(s): Ordinance committee:” and advised that the committee had not met at that the last Council meeting and spoke of who would be assigned as members. Mr. Talbert had asked to be removed from the Ordinance committee and Councilman John Wascom advised that he would gladly serve on the committee. In addition, he had offered to serve as the chairman. The chair advised that Councilman Tracy Girlinghouse wished to be the vice-chair of the Ordinance Committee.

Councilman Wascom advised that he had taken that under consideration and he would be glad to and serve the Ordinance committee. Councilman Wascom wished to establish the Ordinance committee meetings at four-thirty (4:30) p.m. on the same night as Council meetings. He stated that there may be times that the committee will have to meet other occasions, but he felt that from four-thirty (4:30) to five-thirty (5:30) p.m. would be plenty enough time to get the Ordinance committee going. He felt that they had a great team and would work hard to get ordinances that benefit the people of this parish.

The chair called upon Councilman Maurice “Scooter” Keen to address agenda item 15b, “Finance committee”. Councilman Keen advised that the committee had met earlier that evening with the Finance Director, who had some really good news regarding the sales taxes and acknowledged that they were still coming in good. They also discussed the Parish’s insurance and made a recommendation to the Livingston Parish Council to cap the insurance at twenty-five (25,000,000) million on named storms; and on the machinery, lower the deductible to a thousand (1,000) and that will cost the Parish an extra thirty-six (3600) hundred a year from ten thousand (10,000). Councilman Randy Delatte advised that he would like to make that in one (1) motion.

The chair stated that the motion was to advise the Finance Director that it was the Council’s wishes to do the cap at 25 on the named storms and increase thirty-six hundred dollars (\$3600.00) on the equipment.

Councilman Randy Delatte identified that this was a recommendation of the Finance committee and he would then like to make the second to this motion.

Having no comment from the public, the chair called for the vote.

LPR NO. 22-031

MOTION was made by Maurice “Scooter” Keen and duly seconded by Randy Delatte to approve the recommendation of the Finance committee to cap and limit the Parish’s named storm loss coverage at twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000.000.00) per incident at a savings of fifty-three thousand (\$53,000.00) dollars annually and to approve additional insurance premium for equipment at a cost of thirty-seven hundred dollars (\$3,700.00).

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

- YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE, MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD
- NAYS: NONE
- ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS
- ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted.

The chair addressed agenda item 16, “Councilmen’s comments”, and called upon Councilman Maurice “Scooter” Keen .

Councilman Keen announced that there would be a major fund raiser for the quad area vets and mission 22 located at the fairgrounds. He explained that it was the Live Oak/Watson gumbo cookoff that is led by Bobbi Jo Cox and Berlin Cox and encouraged everyone to attend.

Councilman Garry Talbert wished to announce again that the Council’s Deputy clerk, Ms. White, had a healthy nine (9) pound, six (6) ounce baby boy that was twenty-two (22’) inches long and Ryatt Haze is doing very well.

Having no further business, a motion to adjourn was requested until the next regular meeting being scheduled on Thursday, February 10, 2022, at the hour of six o’clock (6:00) p.m. in Livingston, Louisiana.

LPR NO. 22-032

MOTION was offered by John Wascom and duly seconded by R.C. “Bubba” Harris to adjourn the January 27, 2022 regular meeting of the Livingston Parish Council.

Upon being submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS: MR. MACK, MR. WASCOM, MR. TALBERT, MR. HARRIS, MR. DELATTE,
MR. KEEN, MR. GIRLINGHOUSE, MR. ARD

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MR. MCMORRIS

ABSTAIN: NONE

Thereupon the chair declared that the Motion had carried and was adopted and that the meeting was adjourned.

\s\ Sandy C. Teal
Sandy C. Teal, Council Clerk

\s\ Jeff Ard
Jeff Ard, Council Chairman